arXiv:1406.0826v1 [math.CA] 2 Jun 2014

LARGE-DEGREE ASYMPTOTICS OF RATIONAL PAINLEVÉ-II FUNCTIONS. II.

ROBERT J. BUCKINGHAM AND PETER D. MILLER

Abstract. This paper is a continuation of our analysis, begun in [7], of the rational solutions of the inhomogeneous Painlevé-II equation and associated rational solutions of the homogeneous coupled Painlevé-II system in the limit of large degree. In this paper we establish asymptotic formulae valid near a certain curvilinear triangle in the complex plane that was previously shown to separate two distinct types of asymptotic behavior. Our results display both a trigonometric degeneration of the rational Painlevé-II functions and also a degeneration to the tritronquée solution of the Painlevé-I equation. Our rigorous analysis is based on the steepest descent method applied to a Riemann-Hilbert representation of the rational Painlevé-II functions, and supplies leading-order formulae as well as error estimates.

1. Introduction

Here we continue our investigation, begun in [7], of the large-degree asymptotic behavior of rational solutions to the inhomogeneous Painlevé-II equation

$$p_{yy} = 2p^3 + \frac{2}{3}yp - \frac{2}{3}m, \quad p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C} \text{ with parameter } m \in \mathbb{C} \tag{1-1}$$

and the coupled Painlevé-II system

$$\left.\begin{aligned} u_{yy} + 2u^2v + \frac{1}{3}yu = 0 \\ v_{yy} + 2uv^2 + \frac{1}{3}yv = 0 \end{aligned}\right\}, \quad u, v : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}. \tag{1-2}$$

The Painlevé-II equation (1-1) has a rational solution if and only if $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ [1], and when this rational solution exists it is unique [20]. These rational solutions arise in the study of fluid vortices [9], string theory [16], and transition behavior for the semiclassical sine-Gordon equation [6]. The rational solutions can be constructed as follows. Define

$$\mathcal{U}_0(y) := 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_0(y) := -\frac{1}{6}y. \tag{1-3}$$

Then define the rational functions $\mathcal{U}_m(y)$ and $\mathcal{V}_m(y)$ iteratively for positive integers m by

$$\mathcal{U}_{m+1}(y) := -\frac{1}{6}y\mathcal{U}_m(y) - \frac{\mathcal{U}_m'(y)^2}{\mathcal{U}_m(y)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{U}_m''(y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{m+1}(y) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{U}_m(y)}, \tag{1-4}$$

and for negative integers m by

$$\mathcal{U}_{m-1}(y) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{V}_m(y)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{m-1}(y) := \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{V}_m''(y) - \frac{\mathcal{V}_m'(y)^2}{\mathcal{V}_m(y)} - \frac{1}{6}y\mathcal{V}_m(y). \tag{1-5}$$

Then $\{u, v\} = \{\mathcal{U}_m, \mathcal{V}_m\}$ solves the coupled Painlevé-II system (1-2) for each choice of $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, if we define

$$\mathcal{P}_m(y) := \frac{\mathcal{U}_m'(y)}{\mathcal{U}_m(y)} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}_m(y) := \frac{\mathcal{V}_m'(y)}{\mathcal{V}_m(y)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{1-6}$$

then $\mathcal{P}_m$ satisfies (1-1) with parameter m while $\mathcal{Q}_m = \mathcal{P}_{1-m}$. It is sufficient to assume that $m > 0$ (see [7, Remark 2]) and we will do so for the rest of this paper.

In [7], it was shown that, in the scaled coordinate

$$x := (m - \tfrac{1}{2})^{-2/3}y, \tag{1-7}$$

the zeros and poles of $\mathcal{P}_m$, $\mathcal{Q}_m$, $\mathcal{U}_m$, and $\mathcal{V}_m$ are contained within and densely fill out a fixed (independent of m) domain T (the *elliptic region*) as $m \to \infty$ (see Figure 1). The explicit analytical definition of T is

given in [7, Section 3.2], and further details can be found below in §2. These results are consistent with numerical studies of the related Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials (the functions $\mathcal{U}_m$ are ratios of successive Yablonskii-Vorob'ev polynomials) by Clarkson and Mansfield [10], as well as Kapaev's results [18] on the large-m asymptotic behavior of general solutions to (1-1). Furthermore, the leading order large-m asymptotic behaviors of $\mathcal{P}_m$, $\mathcal{Q}_m$, $\mathcal{U}_m$, and $\mathcal{V}_m$ were computed, with error term of order m^{-1}, assuming that x is not close to ∂T. Subsequently some of these asymptotic results were reproduced by other authors [2]. In this work we complete the analysis by filling in the missing parts of the complex x-plane near the smooth arcs and corner points of ∂T, supplying asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions in these remaining regions.



FIGURE 1. The zeros (circles) and poles (dots) of $\mathcal{U}_m(y)$ for $m = 13$ (left) and $m = 14$ (right) in the complex x-plane, where $x = (m - \frac{1}{2})^{-2/3}y$, along with the m-independent boundary of the region T. Note that each pole of $\mathcal{U}_{14}(y)$ is also a zero of $\mathcal{U}_{13}(y)$ (these points are slightly shifted between the two plots since the map $y \mapsto x$ depends on m). Every zero of $\mathcal{U}_m(y)$ is a pole of $\mathcal{P}_m(y)$ with residue $+1$, while every pole of $\mathcal{U}_m(y)$ is a pole of $\mathcal{P}_m(y)$ with residue -1.

1.1. **Results.** The Painlevé functions have the two following discrete symmetries (see, for example, [7, Section 2]):

$$\mathcal{U}_m(y^*) = \mathcal{U}_m(y)^*, \quad \mathcal{V}_m(y^*) = \mathcal{V}_m(y)^*, \quad \mathcal{P}_m(y^*) = \mathcal{P}_m(y)^*, \quad \mathcal{Q}_m(y^*) = \mathcal{Q}_m(y)^* \tag{1-8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_m(e^{-2\pi i/3}y) &= e^{2\pi i/3}\mathcal{P}_m(y), \quad \mathcal{Q}_m(e^{-2\pi i/3}y) = e^{2\pi i/3}\mathcal{Q}_m(y), \\ \mathcal{U}_m(e^{-2\pi i/3}y) &= e^{-2m\pi i/3}\mathcal{U}_m(y), \quad \mathcal{V}_m(e^{-2\pi i/3}y) = e^{2(m-1)\pi i/3}\mathcal{V}_m(y). \end{aligned} \tag{1-9}$$

The curve ∂T turns out to consist of three smooth arcs ("edges") that terminate in pairs at certain points ("corners") lying along the rays $\arg(-x) = 0$ and $\arg(x) = \pm\pi/3$ (complete details and precise definitions can be found in §2 below, but these features are completely obvious from the plots in Figure 1). Together with the exact symmetry (1-9), this shows it is sufficient to analyze the behavior of the rational Painlevé-II functions near one edge and one corner of ∂T (we pick those that intersect the real axis).

Our analysis of the rational Painlevé-II functions for x near the edge of ∂T subtending the sector $|\arg(x)| < \pi/3$ is presented in §3, and the main results are formulated in Theorem 1 (which provides asymptotic formulae for $\mathcal{U}_m$ and $\mathcal{V}_m$) and Theorem 2 (which provides asymptotic formulae for $\mathcal{P}_m$ and $\mathcal{Q}_m$). These results show that, when viewed in terms of a conformal coordinate (independent of m) that maps the edge to a vertical segment, the rational functions can be represented for large m as infinite series of trigonometric terms periodic in the direction parallel to the (straightened) edge, up to an absolute error term proportional to m^{-1}. The period of each term is proportional to m^{-1}, and subsequent terms in the series are displaced in the direction perpendicular to the (straightened) edge by a shift proportional to $m^{-1}\log(m)$. Details of the location of poles of the approximating series are given in §3.5.3, including information regarding how the pole lattices shift smoothly as x moves along the edge, how they jump when m is incremented, and how the lattices for

subsequent terms in the series are related. The infinite-series formulae show remarkable agreement with the actual rational Painlevé-II functions, even when m is not very large and even when x is not so close to ∂T. This agreement is illustrated qualitatively in Figures 10–11 (for $\mathcal{U}_m$) and in Figure 12 (for $\mathcal{P}_m$). It has to be noted, however, that the error terms fail to be controllable if x is allowed to move so far along the edge as to approach one of the two corner points; a different type of analysis is required in this situation.

In §4 we resolve this issue by analyzing the rational Painlevé-II functions near the corner point $x = x_c$ of ∂T that lies on the negative real axis. The main results are formulated in Theorem 3, which shows that for $x - x_c$ of order $\mathcal{O}(m^{4/5})$ the rational Painlevé-II functions can be represented in the limit $m \to \infty$ in terms of a certain solution of the Painlevé-I equation $Y''(t) = 6Y(t)^2 + t$ (a *tritronquée* solution; for details see §4.5.2). The error terms are small in the limit $m \to \infty$ but are large compared with m^{-1}; consequently it is necessary to consider m quite large to see good agreement of the exact rational Painlevé-II functions with their approximations. The poles of $\mathcal{P}_m$ and the approximating tritronquée function are compared in Figure 2; note that two simple poles of $\mathcal{P}_m$ of opposite residues converge to each double tritronquée pole. The functions $\mathcal{U}_m$ and $\mathcal{P}_m$ are compared with their large-m approximations via Painlevé-I functions for real t in Figures 16 and 17.



FIGURE 2. Poles of the Painlevé-I tritronquée solution $Y(t)$ (denoted by the symbol X) and $\mathcal{P}_m(y)$ (circles correspond to poles with residue $+1$ and dots correspond to poles with residue -1) for $m = 10$ (left) and $m = 40$ (right) in the complex t-plane, where $t = 2^{1/15}3^{-1/3}m^{4/5}((m - \frac{1}{2})^{-2/3}y - x_c)$. In the t-plane the poles of $Y(t)$ are independent of m, and $t = 0$ corresponds to $x = x_c$. In the large-m limit, one (simple) pole of $\mathcal{P}_m$ with residue $+1$ and one with residue -1 converge to each (double) pole of Y. The tritronquée poles were numerically computed using the pole field solver code provided by Fornberg and Weideman [15].

The fact that the Painlevé-I equation appears exactly when x is near x_c and the other two corner points of ∂T can be appreciated at a formal level by a simple scaling argument (see also [18]). Indeed, consider making simultaneous affine coordinate changes in the independent and dependent variables of the inhomogeneous Painlevé-II equation (1-1):

$$y = a + bt \quad \text{and} \quad p = \alpha + \beta Y, \tag{1-10}$$

where a, b, α, and β are constants, while t and Y are the new independent and dependent variables, respectively. Making these substitutions in (1-1) yields

$$\frac{\beta}{b^2}Y_{tt} = 2\alpha^3 + 6\alpha^2\beta Y + 6\alpha\beta^2 Y^2 + 2\beta^3 Y^3 + \frac{2}{3}a\alpha + \frac{2}{3}\alpha bt + \frac{2}{3}a\beta Y + \frac{2}{3}b\beta tY - \frac{2}{3}m. \tag{1-11}$$

Balancing the terms proportional to Y_{tt}, Y^2, and t (the terms appearing in the Painlevé-I equation) by taking

$$\alpha\beta b^2 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b}{\beta^2} = \frac{3}{2} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \alpha = \frac{4}{9}\frac{1}{\beta^5} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \frac{3}{2}\beta^2, \tag{1-12}$$

the transformed equation (1-11) becomes

$$Y_{tt} - 6Y^2 - t = 2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 \beta^{-12} + 6\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \beta^{-6} Y + 2\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \beta^6 Y^3 + \frac{2}{3}a\beta^{-2} + \frac{3}{2}a\beta^4 Y + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^2 \beta^6 tY - \frac{3}{2}m\beta^3. \tag{1-13}$$

The right-hand side can be made formally small in the limit $m \to \infty$ by choosing a and β appropriately. Indeed, one may first observe that there is a unique term proportional to the product tY, and for this term to be negligible it is necessary that $\beta \ll 1$. Also, there are three constant terms, one of which is proportional to β^{-12}, which is large; this situation can be resolved by assuming that the constant terms sum exactly to zero:

$$2\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^4 \beta^{-12} + \frac{2}{3}a\beta^{-2} - \frac{3}{2}m\beta^3 = 0. \tag{1-14}$$

Similarly, there are two terms proportional to Y (without a factor of t), one of which is proportional to β^{-6}, which is large; we therefore remove these terms by supposing that

$$6\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2 \beta^{-6} + \frac{3}{2}a\beta^4 = 0. \tag{1-15}$$

Solving (1-14) and (1-15) for a and β in terms of m and using (1-12) we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{2/3} m^{2/3} e^{2\pi i k/3}, \\ b &= \frac{3^{1/3}}{2^{1/15}} m^{-2/15} e^{4\pi i k/15}, \\ \alpha &= -6^{-1/3} m^{1/3} e^{-2\pi i k/3}, \\ \beta &= -4^{1/15}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{1/3} m^{-1/15} e^{2\pi i k/15}, \end{aligned} \tag{1-16}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, which puts (1-13) in the form of a perturbation of the Painlevé-I equation:

$$Y_{tt} = 6Y^2 + t + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2/5}Y^3) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-2/5}tY), \quad m \to \infty. \tag{1-17}$$

Based on this formal argument, one is led to expect solutions of (1-1) to be asymptotically expressible in terms of solutions of Painlevé-I near three distinguished points in the y-plane given by the three values of a; upon rescaling by (1-7) these points correspond to the three corners of ∂T. Of course this formal argument does not suggest which solutions of Painlevé-I should appear in the large-m behavior of any given family of solutions of (1-1), nor does it yield a direct method of proof.

1.2. **Notation.** We define for later use the Pauli spin matrices

$$\sigma_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_+ := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_- := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1-18}$$

We will frequently refer to certain sectors of the complex plane, for which we define special notation:

$$\mathcal{S}_\sigma := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(x)| < \frac{\pi}{3} - \sigma \right\}, \quad \sigma \geq 0. \tag{1-19}$$

Given an oriented contour arc and a function defined locally in the complement of the arc, we use the subscript "+" (respectively, "−") to denote the nontangential boundary value taken by the function on the arc from the left (respectively, right). Finally, it will be convenient to introduce the notation

$$\epsilon := (m - \tfrac{1}{2})^{-1}. \tag{1-20}$$

1.3. **Acknowledgements.** In making Figures 2, 16, and 17 we used the "pole field solver" of Bengt Fornberg and André Weideman [15] to compute the tritronquée functions H and Y, and we are grateful to them for providing us with a code for this purpose. We also thank Marco Bertola for pointing out the approach to the proof of Lemma 7 via differential equations in Banach space. R. J. Buckingham was partially supported by the National Science Foundation via grant DMS-1312458, by the Simons Foundation via award 245775, and the Charles Phelps Taft Research Foundation. P. D. Miller was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under grants DMS-0807653 and DMS-1206131, and by a Fellowship in Mathematics from the Simons Foundation.

2. Edges and Corners

The curve ∂T can be detected with the help of the g-function introduced in [7] to establish asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions under the assumption that $|x|$ is sufficiently large. In this section, we recall that g-function and use it to precisely describe the boundary ∂T of the set T as this will be the focus of the analysis in the rest of the paper.

2.1. **Definition of g and related functions.** Set

$$x_c := -\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{2/3} \tag{2-1}$$

(this turns out to be the leftmost corner point of T) and define Σ_S to be the union of the three straight line segments $[x_c, 0]$, $[0, x_c e^{-2i\pi/3}]$, and $[0, x_c e^{2i\pi/3}]$ (see Figure 3). Let $S : \mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}$ denote the unique



FIGURE 3. The jump contour Σ_S for $S = S(x)$ (wavy lines), and the six segments of the positively-oriented boundary of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S$. The sector $\mathcal{S}_0$ is shaded. The contours (1) – (6) correspond to the contours in the S-plane with the same labels in Figure 4.

analytic function satisfying

$$3S(x)^3 + 4xS(x) + 8 = 0 \tag{2-2}$$

with branch cut Σ_S and satisfying $S(x) = -2x^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(x^{-4})$ as $x \to \infty$. The three endpoints of Σ_S are precisely the values of x at which (2-2) has a double root. It is easy to see that S is Schwarz-symmetric and satisfies the rotational symmetry

$$S(xe^{2\pi i/3}) = e^{-2\pi i/3} S(x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S. \tag{2-3}$$

Lemma 1. *$S : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S \to \mathbb{C}$ is univalent, and its conformal image is the domain bounded by the arc $S = (\frac{4}{3})^{1/3}(\sec(\vartheta))^{1/3} e^{i\vartheta}$, $|\vartheta| < \pi/3$, and its rotations about the origin by $\pm 2\pi/3$ radians (see Figure 4).*



FIGURE 4. The conformal image of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S$ under S. The shaded region is the image of the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$. Here $S_0 := -(8/3)^{1/3}$ and $S_c := (4/3)^{1/3}$. The contours (1) – (6) correspond to the contours in the x-plane with the same labels in Figure 3. Note that this figure does *not* depict the elliptic region T (in fact the interior corner angles here are $2\pi/3$ radians, while those of T will be shown in §2.3 to be $2\pi/5$ radians).

The proof of Lemma 1 is presented in §A.1. Define the semi-infinite ray $\mathscr{R}_{-\pi/3} := (x_c e^{2i\pi/3}, \infty e^{-i\pi/3})$. Then let $\Delta : \mathbb{C}\backslash(\Sigma_S \cup \mathscr{R}_{-\pi/3}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be the unique analytic function satisfying

$$\Delta(x)^2 = \frac{16}{3S(x)} \tag{2-4}$$

that is positive real for real $x < x_c$. Now define $a, b : \mathbb{C}\backslash(\Sigma_S \cup \mathscr{R}_{-\pi/3}) \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$a(x) := \frac{S(x) - \Delta(x)}{2}, \quad b(x) := \frac{S(x) + \Delta(x)}{2}. \tag{2-5}$$

(Observe that S is the *sum* $a + b$ and Δ is the *difference* $b - a$). For $x \in \mathbb{C}\backslash(\Sigma_S \cup \mathscr{R}_{-\pi/3})$, $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are distinct points in the complex plane satisfying $\mathrm{Re}(a(x)) < \mathrm{Re}(b(x))$ for $0 < \arg(x) \leq 5\pi/3$ and $\mathrm{Im}(a(x)) > \mathrm{Im}(b(x))$ for $-\pi/3 < \arg(x) < \pi$. We call the oriented straight line segment $\Sigma := \overrightarrow{ab}$ the *band*. Given values a and b, let $r : \mathbb{C}\backslash\Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ be the analytic function satisfying

$$r(z; a, b)^2 = (z - a)(z - b) \quad \text{and} \quad r(z; a, b) = z + \mathcal{O}(1), \quad z \to \infty. \tag{2-6}$$

Let L (depending on x) denote an unbounded arc joining $z = b$ to $z = \infty$ without otherwise touching Σ, and suppose that L agrees with the positive real z-axis for sufficiently large $|z|$. Then set

$$z_* = z_*(x) := -\frac{1}{2}S(x), \tag{2-7}$$

and then

$$h(z) = h(z; x) := \frac{3}{2}\int_{a(x)}^{z} (\zeta - z_*(x)) r(\zeta; a(x), b(x))\, d\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma \cup L), \tag{2-8}$$

where $\lambda(x)$ denotes an integration constant (see below) and the path of integration lies in $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma \cup L)$. It is a consequence of the definition of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ that the associated function $g(z) = g(z;x)$ defined by

$$g(z;x) := \frac{1}{2}\theta(z;x) - h(z;x), \tag{2-9}$$

where $\theta : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\theta(z) = \theta(z;x) := z^3 + xz, \tag{2-10}$$

has the asymptotic expansion

$$g(z;x) = \log(-z) + g_0(x) + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{2-11}$$

where $g_0(x)$ is a constant related to $\lambda(x)$. The constant $\lambda(x)$ is chosen to ensure that $g_0(x) = 0$. Further salient properties of g and h are recorded in [7, Proposition 1], one of which is that the identity $h_+(z;x) + h_-(z;x) + \lambda(x) = 0$ holds for all $z \in \Sigma$.

2.2. **Characterization of ∂T in terms of g.** The analysis of the rational Painlevé-II functions carried out in [7] with the help of the function g defined by (2-9) succeeds as long as the contour L can be positioned so that the inequality $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x) + \lambda(x)) > 0$ holds for $z \in L$ and along two other unbounded contours with asymptotic directions $\arg(z) = \pm 2\pi/3$. This is the case for sufficiently large $|x|$. The boundary ∂T is then the set of $x \in \mathbb{C}$ for which the region of the inequality $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x) + \lambda(x)) > 0$ undergoes a topological bifurcation, such that once $x \in T$ it is no longer possible to choose L or the other two contours with the relevant inequality holding at every point. The hallmark of the bifurcation is the appearance of the critical point $z = z_*(x)$ on the zero level curve of the function $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x) + \lambda(x))$. If we set

$$\mathfrak{c}(x) := \frac{3}{2}\int_{a(x)}^{z_*(x)} (\zeta - z_*(x)) r(\zeta; a(x), b(x))\, d\zeta, \tag{2-12}$$

where the path of integration is a straight line, then it is clear from comparison to (2-8) that $\mathfrak{c}(x) = h(z_*(x);x) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda(x) \pmod{2\pi i}$ since the residue of $h'(z;x)$ at $z = \infty$ is -1. Therefore, the bifurcation corresponds to the equation $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{c}(x)) = 0$. We note that $\mathfrak{c}(x)$ is well-defined for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_0 e^{2\pi i/3} \cup \mathcal{S}_0 e^{-2\pi i/3}$, but along the three excluded rays either $z_*(x)$ fails to be well-defined (because $x \in \Sigma_S$) or $z_*(x) \in \Sigma$ so that the integral (2-12) is not well-defined. The bifurcation phenomenon is illustrated in Figures 5 and 6.



FIGURE 5. Signature charts for $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x) + \lambda(x))$ in the z-plane corresponding to $x = 1$ (second panel), $x = x_e \approx 1.445$ (third panel), and $x = 2$ (fourth panel). Solid curves are zero level curves, while the dashed line in each case is the segment Σ across which h has a jump discontinuity. The location of each of these x-values in relation to ∂T is illustrated in the first panel.

The function $\mathfrak{c}$ has a number of symmetries. The following formulae arise from noting that upon rotation of x by multiples of $2\pi/3$ radians, the pair (a, b) can undergo permutation, so that comparing the formula for $\mathfrak{c}$ for x in different sectors one has to include an additional contribution amounting to integrating along the



FIGURE 6. Signature charts for $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x)+\lambda(x))$ in the z-plane for $x = x_c + i$ (top panel), $x = x_c - i$ (bottom panel), $x = -3$ (left panel), and $x = x_c$ (center panel). The selected values of x are plotted in relation to ∂T in the right panel.

branch cut Σ from b to a. This contribution can be evaluated by residues taking into account the definitions of $a(x)$ and $b(x)$. The formulae are:

$$\mathfrak{c}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{c}(xe^{-2\pi i/3}) - i\pi, & x \in \mathcal{S}_0 e^{2\pi i/3}, \\ \mathfrak{c}(xe^{-4\pi i/3}), & x \in \mathcal{S}_0 e^{-2\pi i/3}. \end{cases} \tag{2-13}$$

Similarly, upon noting that for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ one has $S(x^*) = S(x)^*$ but $a(x^*) = b(x)^*$, one can derive the relation

$$\mathfrak{c}(x^*) = \mathfrak{c}(x)^* + i\pi, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}_0. \tag{2-14}$$

Lemma 2. *The function $\mathfrak{d}$ defined as*

$$\mathfrak{d}(x) := \mathfrak{c}(x) - \frac{i\pi}{2}, \quad x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \tag{2-15}$$

is univalent and Schwarz-symmetric in its domain of definition. The conformal image of $\mathcal{S}_0$ under $\mathfrak{d}$ intersects the imaginary axis exactly in the segment with endpoints $\pm i\pi/2$ (see Figure 7).

The proof of Lemma 2 is given in §A.2. The symmetries (2-13) and the definition (2-15) show that the full locus of points x where $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{c}(x)) = 0$ can be obtained by considering the equation $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ and then including rotations of this set by angles $\pm 2\pi/3$. According to Lemma 2, the solution of the equation $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) = 0$ for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ is exactly the preimage under $\mathfrak{d}$ of the imaginary segment with endpoints $\pm i\pi/2$. This is an analytic arc connecting the points $x_c e^{\pm 2\pi i/3}$. This arc in the x-plane and its two rotations are what we call the three *edges* of T. The edges join in pairs at three *corners*, namely the points $x_c < 0$ and $x_c e^{\pm 2\pi i}$.



FIGURE 7. The conformal image of $\mathcal{S}_0$ in the $\mathfrak{d}$-plane or, in the notation of Appendix A, the conformal image of τ in the I-plane (see (A-8)).

2.3. **Opening angle of ∂T near its corners.** To understand the nature of ∂T near a corner, it suffices to consider x near $x_c < 0$, since ∂T is invariant under rotations by $2\pi/3$ radians. First, we use (2-2) to analyze $S(x)$ for x near x_c. This local analysis shows that $S(x) = s((x_c - x)^{1/2})$, where $s(\cdot)$ is an analytic function of its (small) argument and where $(x_c - x)^{1/2}$ denotes the principal branch, positive for $x < x_c$ and cut in the interval $x > x_c$. Moreover, $s(0) = S_c := (4/3)^{1/3}$ while $s'(0) = -2/3$. From (2-4)–(2-5), we then obtain corresponding series expansions for $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ in integer powers of $(x_c - x)^{1/2}$. In particular, $a(x) = a_c + \mathcal{O}((x_c - x)^{1/2})$ and $b(x) = b_c + \mathcal{O}((x_c - x)^{1/2})$, where

$$a_c := -\frac{1}{6^{1/3}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_c := \left(\frac{9}{2}\right)^{1/3}. \tag{2-16}$$

Moreover, $a(x) + \frac{1}{2}S(x) = -(x_c - x)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(x_c - x)$. Rescaling the integration variable ζ in (2-12) by $\zeta = a(x) + (x_c - x)^{1/2}w$ then renders $\mathfrak{c}(x)$ in the form

$$\mathfrak{c}(x) = \frac{3}{2}(x_c - x)\int_0^{W(x)} (w - W(x))r(a(x) + (x_c - x)^{1/2}w; a(x), b(x))\, dw, \tag{2-17}$$

where

$$W(x) := -(x_c - x)^{-1/2}\left(a(x) + \frac{1}{2}S(x)\right). \tag{2-18}$$

From the local analysis of S, a, and b, we find that $W(x)$ is an analytic function of $(x_c - x)^{1/2}$ for x near x_c satisfying $W(x_c) = 1$ (i.e., the apparent singularity at $x = x_c$ is removable). Furthermore, uniformly for bounded w one has in the limit $x \to x_c$:

$$r(a(x) + (x_c - x)^{1/2}w; a(x), b(x)) = -i\,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(x))(b_c - a_c)^{1/2}(x_c - x)^{1/4}w^{1/2}(1 + \mathcal{O}((x_c - x)^{1/2}). \tag{2-19}$$

The fact that we have two formulae depending on the sign of $\mathrm{Im}(x)$ is related to the fact that $z_*(x)$ crosses the branch cut Σ of r when $x < x_c$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{c}(x) &= -\frac{3}{2} i \,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(x))(b_c - a_c)^{1/2}(x_c - x)^{5/4} \int_0^1 (w-1)w^{1/2}\, dw + \mathcal{O}((x_c - x)^{7/4}) \\ &= \frac{2}{5} i \,\mathrm{sgn}(\mathrm{Im}(x))(b_c - a_c)^{1/2}(x_c - x)^{5/4} + \mathcal{O}((x_c - x)^{7/4}), \quad x \to x_c.\end{aligned} \tag{2-20}$$

The condition $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{c}(x)) = 0$ with $0 < |\arg(x_c - x)| < \pi$ then implies that $\arg(x_c - x) \to \pm 4\pi/5$ as $x \to x_c$. This proves the following.

Proposition 1. *The three corners of ∂T are located at the points x_c, $e^{2\pi i/3}x_c$, and $e^{-2\pi i/3}x_c$ where x_c is given by* (2-1)*. At each corner, T subtends an angle of $2\pi/5$ radians.*

In particular, ∂T is *not* a Euclidean triangle.

3. Analysis near an edge of the elliptic region T

In this section, we study the rational Painlevé-II functions for x in the vicinity of a smooth point of ∂T, that is, along an edge of T. By the symmetries (1-9) it is sufficient to assume that the edge of interest subtends the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$.

3.1. **The Positive-x Configuration Riemann-Hilbert problem.** In the course of studying a librational-rotational transition point in the semiclassical sine-Gordon equation, the authors derived a Riemann-Hilbert problem well suited for studying the large-degree asymptotics of the rational Painlevé-II functions [6]. In the companion paper [7], various transformations (i.e., contour deformations and introduction of the g-function defined by (2-9)) dependent on x were performed on this Riemann-Hilbert problem to facilitate the asymptotic analysis. To analyze the rational Painlevé-II functions for x near the edge in the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$, it is sufficient to start with the "dressed" Riemann-Hilbert problem referred to in [7] as the Positive-x Configuration problem. In the companion paper this Riemann-Hilbert problem was used for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0 \setminus \overline{T}$. Here we will modify the analysis to allow x to penetrate ∂T by an distance proportional to $m^{-1}\log(m)$, assuming also that x remains bounded away from the endpoints of the edge (corner points of T). The analytical issue that must be addressed is that uniform decay of the jump matrices to the identity is lost near the point $z = z_*(x)$. A local parametrix will be inserted around this point to allow for the application of small norm theory (after some additional steps), ultimately leading to asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions for x near the edge of T in $\mathcal{S}_0$. Significantly, these formulae display qualitatively different behavior than the corresponding formulae derived in [7] assuming that x lies outside of T.

We now define $\mathbf{O}(z) = \mathbf{O}(z; x, \epsilon)$ as the solution to the following Riemann-Hilbert problem:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 1 (The Positive-x Configuration)**.** *Fix a real number x and an integer m and recall ϵ defined in terms of m by* (1-20)*. Seek a matrix $\mathbf{O}(z; x, \epsilon)$ with the following properties:*

Analyticity: *$\mathbf{O}(z)$ is analytic in z except along the contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ defined in Figure 8, from each region of analyticity it may be continued to a slightly larger region except at the points $a = a(x)$ and $b = b(x)$ given by* (2-5)*, and in each region is Hölder continuous up to the boundary in neighborhoods of a and b.*

Jump condition: *The jump conditions satisfied by the matrix function $\mathbf{O}(z)$ are of the form $\mathbf{O}_+(z) = \mathbf{O}_-(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)$, where the jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)$ and contour orientations are as shown in Figure 8.*

Normalization: *The matrix $\mathbf{O}(z)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathbf{O}(z) = \mathbb{I}, \tag{3-1}$$

with the limit being uniform with respect to direction in each of the six regions of analyticity.

The rational Painlevé-II functions are recovered from $\mathbf{O}(z)$ by writing

$$\mathbf{O}(z; x; \epsilon) = \mathbb{I} + \frac{\mathbf{O}_1(x;\epsilon)}{z} + \frac{\mathbf{O}_2(x;\epsilon)}{z^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{3-2}$$



FIGURE 8. The jump matrices $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z;x,\epsilon)$ for x on the positive real axis near the edge of the elliptic region T. The topology of the jump contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ is the same for any x near ∂T in the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$. Recall that $h(z;x)$ and $\lambda(x)$ were defined in §2.1.

and using (see [7, Section 3.6.3])

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)}e^{-\lambda/\epsilon}\mathcal{U}_m = O_{1,12}, \quad \epsilon^{-(2-\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)}e^{\lambda/\epsilon}\mathcal{V}_m = O_{1,21},\\ \epsilon^{1/3}\mathcal{P}_m = O_{1,22} - \frac{O_{2,12}}{O_{1,12}}, \quad \epsilon^{1/3}\mathcal{Q}_m = -O_{1,11} + \frac{O_{2,21}}{O_{1,21}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-3}$$

3.2. **The outer parametrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$.** Recall the critical point $z_*(x)$ of h defined by (2-7) and the function $r(\cdot;a,b)$. We introduce the related notation

$$r_* = r_*(x) := r(z_*(x);a(x),b(x)), \tag{3-4}$$

which defines r_* as an analytic function of x in the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$, and record the useful formula

$$r_*(x)^2 = S(x)^2 - \frac{1}{4}\Delta(x)^2. \tag{3-5}$$

We assume that the contour L passes through the critical point z_* (see Figure 8), and that with the exception of the arc Σ, the jump contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ locally agrees exactly with the steepest-descent directions for $\mathrm{Re}(2h(z;x)+\lambda(x))$ near the points $z=a(x)$, $z=b(x)$, and $z=z_*(x)$. Assuming that x does not penetrate the elliptic region T too far (this will be made precise eventually), the asymptotic behavior of the jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)$ in the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ is clear from the signature charts shown in Figure 5 with the exception of the neighborhood of the critical point $z=z_*$. Allowing for a certain type of singular behavior at this point (which will be repaired soon with the installation of an appropriate local parametrix) as well as at the two endpoints $z=a$ and $z=b$ of the straight-line contour Σ (which will be repaired with the installation of standard Airy parametrices), we are led to the following model problem:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 2 (Outer parametrix for x near an edge)**.** *Given $K\in\mathbb{Z}$, find a 2×2 matrix-valued function $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

Analyticity: *$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x)$ is analytic for $z\notin\Sigma\cup\{z_*(x)\}$ and takes Hölder-continuous boundary values on Σ with the exception of its endpoints $a(x)$ and $b(x)$.*

Jump condition: *$\dot{\mathbf{O}}_+^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}_-^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x)\begin{bmatrix}0 & -i\\ -i & 0\end{bmatrix}$ for $z\in\Sigma$.*

Singularities: *$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z) = \mathcal{O}((z-a(x))^{-1/4}(z-b(x))^{-1/4})$ for z in a neighborhood of Σ, and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x)(z-z_*(x))^{-K\sigma_3}$ is analytic at $z=z_*(x)$.*

Normalization: $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ *as* $z \to \infty$.

It is easy to check that the solution of this problem is unique if it exists, and it necessarily has unit determinant. For $K = 0$, the solution of this problem is obtained as follows. Let $\beta(z) = \beta(z;x)$ be the function analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ that satisfies the conditions

$$\beta(z;x)^4 = \frac{z - a(x)}{z - b(x)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\infty;x) = 1. \tag{3-6}$$

For future reference, we note that β satisfies the identities

$$\beta(z)^2 + \beta(z)^{-2} = \frac{2z - S}{r(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(z)^2 - \beta(z)^{-2} = \frac{\Delta}{r(z)}, \tag{3-7}$$

and we introduce the related notation

$$\beta_* = \beta_*(x) := \beta(z_*(x);x). \tag{3-8}$$

Using the fact that $\beta_+(z) = -i\beta_-(z)$ holds for $z \in \Sigma$, the formula

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},0)}(z;x) = \mathbf{M}\beta(z;x)^{\sigma_3}\mathbf{M}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} \beta(z;x) + \beta(z;x)^{-1} & \beta(z;x) - \beta(z;x)^{-1} \\ \beta(z;x) - \beta(z;x)^{-1} & \beta(z;x) + \beta(z;x)^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{M} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3-9}$$

yields the (unique) solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 2 for $K = 0$, as is easily checked. To solve Riemann-Hilbert Problem 2 for $K \neq 0$, we modify the formula for $K = 0$ as follows (see [3, Section 3.2]). Let $t : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ be the Joukowski map defined by

$$t(z) = t(z;x) := \frac{2}{i\Delta(x)}\left(z - \frac{S(x)}{2} + r(z;a(x),b(x))\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma. \tag{3-10}$$

We introduce the related notation

$$t_* = t_*(x) := t(z_*(x);x). \tag{3-11}$$

Note that $t_* > 0$ holds for $x > 0$. The function $t = t(z)$ satisfies the quadratic equation

$$t - t^{-1} = \frac{4}{i\Delta}\left(z - \frac{S}{2}\right), \tag{3-12}$$

the other solution of which is $-1/t(z)$. The function t is a univalent (1-to-1) conformal map of the slit domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ onto the exterior of the unit circle, and its boundary values satisfy $t_+(z)t_-(z) = -1$ for $z \in \Sigma$. It follows that the function $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{T}(z) = \mathcal{T}(z;x) := i\frac{t_*(x) - t(z;x)}{1 + t_*(x)t(z;x)} \tag{3-13}$$

is analytic in its domain of definition and has only one simple zero at the critical point $z = z_*(x)$, and its boundary values satisfy the jump condition $\mathcal{T}_+(z)\mathcal{T}_-(z) = 1$ for $z \in \Sigma$. Also, as $z \to \infty$, $\mathcal{T}(z) \to \mathcal{T}(\infty;x) = -it_*(x)^{-1}$. The solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 2 for general $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ is then given by

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z;x) = \mathcal{T}(\infty;x)^{-K\sigma_3}\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},0)}(z;x)\mathcal{T}(z;x)^{K\sigma_3}. \tag{3-14}$$

It is easy to check that, as a consequence of the jump condition satisfied by $\mathcal{T}$, the jump condition for $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ across Σ holds for general $K \in \mathbb{Z}$ just as it does for $K = 0$. The additional factors present for $K \neq 0$ are bounded and have bounded inverses near $z = a, b$, so the nature of the admitted singularities near these points is unchanged. Finally, in a neighborhood of $z = z_*$ one can write $\mathcal{T}(z;x) = (z - z_*(x))\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(z;x)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is analytic and non-vanishing at the critical point $z = z_*$, which confirms the desired singular behavior of $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ near this point. In fact,

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}(z_*(x);x) = \mathcal{T}'(z_*(x),x) = \frac{\Delta(x)}{4r_*(x)^2} \neq 0. \tag{3-15}$$

For later use, we record here two useful identities involving the functions $t_*(x)$, $r_*(x)$, $S(x)$, and $\Delta(x)$, which are easily proved by direct calculation.

Lemma 3. *The following identities hold:*

$$-t_*(x)^2\left[\frac{i\Delta(x)}{4}-\frac{r_*(x)}{i\Delta(x)}(r_*(x)+S(x))\right]=\frac{i\Delta(x)}{4}-\frac{r_*(x)}{i\Delta(x)}(r_*(x)-S(x)),$$
$$t_*(x)^2(r_*(x)+S(x))=r_*(x)-S(x). \tag{3-16}$$

3.3. **The inner (Airy) parametrices near $z=a(x)$ and $z=b(x)$.** The construction of inner parametrices near the points $z=a$ and $z=b$ in terms of Airy functions is quite standard. The full details can be found in [7, Sections 3.6.1–2] (following the case of the "Positive-x Configuration"), with the only change being that the outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ has to be generalized from the special case of $K=0$ to $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ as defined in §3.2. This implies that the corresponding parametrices constructed for z near a and b will also depend on K, and we reflect this dependence by denoting the parametrices as $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z)$, respectively.

The parametrices so-defined have the following properties. Let $\mathbb{D}_a$ and $\mathbb{D}_b$ denote ϵ-independent open disks containing the points $z=a$ and $z=b$ respectively. Then $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z)$ (respectively, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z)$) is defined and analytic for $z\in\mathbb{D}_a\setminus\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ (respectively, for $z\in\mathbb{D}_b\setminus\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$), and it satisfies exactly the same jump conditions along the three arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ within its disk of definition as does $\mathbf{O}(z)$. A further crucial property is that $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z)$ both achieve a good match with the outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ on the boundaries of their respective disks in the sense that

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}=\mathbb{I}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon),\quad z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_a \tag{3-17}$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}=\mathbb{I}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon),\quad z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_b, \tag{3-18}$$

with the estimates holding uniformly with respect to z on the corresponding circle, provided that the points a, b, and z_* remain bounded away from one another. This latter condition holds if x is bounded away from the corner points of T; we will therefore assume for the duration of §3 that for some $\sigma>0$, $x\in\mathcal{S}_\sigma$ (see (1-19)).

3.4. **The inner (Hermite) parametrix near $z=z_*(x)$.** Following the same basic methodology as in the construction of the Airy parametrices, we will here define a matrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$ in a neighborhood of the critical point $z=z_*(x)$ that (i) locally solves the jump conditions for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ exactly and (ii) matches as well as possible the outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ for an appropriate choice of $K\in\mathbb{Z}$.

The choice of $K\in\mathbb{Z}$ will depend on x near ∂T in a way that will be made clear shortly. Recall the function $\mathfrak{c}$ defined by (2-12). Since the upper limit of integration lies on the branch cut L of h, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus can be applied if the proper boundary value of h is used, yielding

$$\mathfrak{c}(x)=h_+(z_*(x);x)-h(a(x);x)=h_+(z_*(x);x)+\tfrac{1}{2}\lambda(x). \tag{3-19}$$

Therefore, $2\mathfrak{c}(x)$ is the value of the function $2h_+(z;x)+\lambda(x)$ at the critical point $z=z_*(x)$. In [7], it is shown that if $\mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x))>\log(m)/m$, then no parametrix near the critical point is required, and the outer parametrix may be taken in the case $K=0$; this results in an error estimate proportional to ϵ. While it is therefore harmless to allow $\mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x))$ to become large and positive, the use of different values of the integer K will be needed to allow it to become negative, and with this device we will be able to admit x to penetrate T such that $\mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x))<0$ is of size $\mathcal{O}(\log(m)/m)$. The value of $K\geq 0$ will be gauged from the magnitude of $\mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x))$ compared with $\log(m)/m$. This type of situation, in which a parametrix involving an integer-valued parameter tied to various auxiliary continuous parameters (here, x) plays an important role in the asymptotic analysis, has appeared at least three times in the literature on integrable nonlinear waves: the analysis of Claeys and Grava [8] on the "solitonic" edge of the Whitham oscillation zone for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in the small-dispersion limit, the analysis of Bertola and Tovbis [4] of semiclassical solutions to the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation near an analogous transition point, and our own work [6] on a certain universal feature of semiclassical solutions of the sine-Gordon equation. In the former two cases [4, 8], the parametrix involved is very similar to the one that appears here. Parametrices involving integer-valued parameters have also appeared in the theory of orthogonal polynomials and random matrices; see [3].

In order to construct the local parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$, it is convenient to introduce a conformal local coordinate W taking a neighborhood of the critical point in the z-plane to a target domain near the origin in the W-plane. The conformal map $W = W(z) = W(z;x)$ is defined for z near $z_*(x)$ by the relation

$$2h_+(z;x) + \lambda(x) = 2\mathfrak{c}(x) + W(z;x)^2 \tag{3-20}$$

(here $h_+(z;x)$ is analytically continued through L to a neighborhood of $z = z_*(x)$ by the relation $h_+ - h_- = 2\pi i$) and the condition that W is real and strictly increasing along L. That these conditions serve to define W as an analytic and univalent function follows because $2h_+(z;x) + \lambda(x) - 2\mathfrak{c}(x)$ vanishes to second order at $z = z_*(x)$. Note that $W(z_*(x);x) = 0$.

In terms of W, the jump condition along L for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ reads

$$\mathbf{O}_+(z) = \mathbf{O}_-(z)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-2\mathfrak{c}(x)/\epsilon}e^{-W^2/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad W(z) \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3-21}$$

or, written differently,

$$\mathbf{O}_+(z)e^{i\pi\sigma_3/4}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon} = \mathbf{O}_-(z)e^{i\pi\sigma_3/4}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-W^2/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3-22}$$

At the same time, the outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ can be represented near $z = z_*(x)$ in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)W(z;x)^{K\sigma_3}, \tag{3-23}$$

which serves to define $\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$ as an analytic matrix function of z in a neighborhood of $z = z_*(x)$ that has unit determinant and is bounded independently of $\epsilon > 0$. The analogue of the matrix $\mathbf{A}(\zeta)$ relevant to the construction of Airy parametrices and defined in [7, Appendix A] is in this case the matrix function $\mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\zeta)$ defined by the following conditions:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 3 (Basic Hermite parametrix)**.** *Given $K = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, find a 2×2 matrix function $\mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\zeta)$ with the following properties:*

Analyticity: *$\mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\zeta)$ is analytic for $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, and $\mathbf{H}^{(K)}$ takes Hölder-continuous boundary values $\mathbf{H}^{(K)}_\pm(\zeta)$ on the real axis from the half-planes $\mathbb{C}_\pm$.*

Jump condition: *The boundary values are related by the jump condition*

$$\mathbf{H}^{(K)}_+(\zeta) = \mathbf{H}^{(K)}_-(\zeta)\begin{bmatrix} 1 & e^{-\zeta^2} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \zeta \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3-24}$$

Normalization: *As $\zeta \to \infty$ in any direction (including tangentially to the real axis),*

$$\mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\zeta)\zeta^{-K\sigma_3} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1}). \tag{3-25}$$

The four constants implicit in the bound of the matrix-valued term $\mathcal{O}(\zeta^{-1})$ in (3-25) may depend on K.

This Riemann-Hilbert problem can be traced back to the work of Fokas, Its, and Kitaev [14], and it is solved (uniquely) in terms of the Hermite polynomials $\{\mathfrak{H}_n(\zeta)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ defined by the orthonormality conditions

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{H}_j(\zeta)\mathfrak{H}_k(\zeta)e^{-\zeta^2}\,d\zeta = \delta_{jk} \tag{3-26}$$

and the assertion that $\mathfrak{H}_n$ is a polynomial of degree exactly n: $\mathfrak{H}_n(\zeta) = h_n\zeta^n +$ lower order terms, with $h_n > 0$. It turns out that the leading coefficients are given explicitly by

$$h_n := \frac{2^{n/2}}{\pi^{1/4}\sqrt{n!}}. \tag{3-27}$$

The solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 3 is, explicitly,

(3-28)

$$\mathbf{H}^{(0)}(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dfrac{1}{2\pi i}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dfrac{e^{-s^2}\,ds}{s-\zeta} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \; \mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{1}{h_K}\mathfrak{H}_K(\zeta) & \dfrac{1}{2\pi i h_K}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dfrac{\mathfrak{H}_K(s)e^{-s^2}\,ds}{s-\zeta} \\ -2\pi i h_{K-1}\mathfrak{H}_{K-1}(\zeta) & -h_{K-1}\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dfrac{\mathfrak{H}_{K-1}(s)e^{-s^2}\,ds}{s-\zeta} \end{bmatrix}, \; K \geq 1.$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ be a small ϵ-independent disk containing the critical point $z = z_*(x)$. The local parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$ is then defined by the formula

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z) := \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\epsilon^{K\sigma_3/2}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon}e^{i\pi\sigma_3/4}\mathbf{H}^{(K)}(\epsilon^{-1/2}W(z;x))e^{-i\pi\sigma_3/4}e^{\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{z_*}. \tag{3-29}$$

Comparing (3-22) and (3-24) shows that $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$ satisfies exactly the same jump condition along L near $z = z_*(x)$ as does $\mathbf{O}(z)$ itself. When $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, $W(z;x)$ is bounded away from zero, and hence $\epsilon^{-1/2}W(z;x)$ is large. From (3-23) and (3-25) it then follows that

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\epsilon^{K\sigma_3/2}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon}\left(\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})\right)e^{\mathfrak{c}(x)\sigma_3/\epsilon}\epsilon^{-K\sigma_3/2}\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}. \tag{3-30}$$

Now we can explain how the integer $K \geq 0$ is chosen. To obtain the best possible match between $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ on $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, it is clear from (3-30) that, given x, K should be chosen so that $|\epsilon^{K/2}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)/\epsilon}|$ is as close to 1 as possible. Indeed, if $|\epsilon^{K/2}e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)/\epsilon}|$ is asymptotically large or small, then one or the other of the off-diagonal elements of the error term $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ in (3-30) will become amplified. We therefore choose

$$K = K(x;\epsilon) := \left[\!\left[-\frac{\mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x))}{\epsilon\log(\epsilon^{-1})}\right]\!\right] \geq 0, \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\log(\epsilon^{-1}), \tag{3-31}$$

where $[\![z]\!]$ denotes the nearest integer to $z \in \mathbb{R}$ defined as $z + \frac{1}{2}$ in the ambiguous case that z is an odd half-integer. For reasons that will be clear shortly, as a special case, we want to define also

$$K(x;\epsilon) := 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \mathrm{Re}(2\mathfrak{c}(x)) > \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\log(\epsilon^{-1}). \tag{3-32}$$

For the duration of §3, the symbol K will always stand for the integer-valued function of x and ϵ defined in (3-31)–(3-32).

3.5. **The global parametrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ and analysis of the preliminary error.** Define the global parametrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) := \begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\Sigma \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}_a \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}_b \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}_{z_*}), \\ \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}_a, \\ \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}_b, \\ \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}_{z_*}, \end{cases} \tag{3-33}$$

where the integer $K = K(x;\epsilon) \geq 0$ is defined in (3-31)–(3-32). To gauge the accuracy of approximating $\mathbf{O}(z)$ with $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$, we consider the (preliminary) error defined by

$$\mathbf{P}(z) := \mathbf{O}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)^{-1} \tag{3-34}$$

wherever both factors are defined. This domain of definition is $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$, where $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$ is a contour consisting of:

- the disk boundaries $\partial\mathbb{D}_a$, $\partial\mathbb{D}_b$, and $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, all of which are taken to be oriented in the negative (clockwise) direction, and
- the arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ lying outside of these disks, with the exception of the straight line segment Σ connecting $z = a$ and $z = b$. These arcs are taken with the same orientation as when they are considered as part of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$.

The arc Σ and the arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{O})}$ within the disks are not part of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$ because $\mathbf{O}(z)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$ share exactly the same jump conditions across each of these arcs. On the other hand, the disk boundaries are included in $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$ because the local parametrices do not match the outer parametrix exactly.

Assume that $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma$ and that, for some fixed $M > 0$, $K(x;\epsilon) \leq M$. The preliminary error matrix $\mathbf{P}(z)$ satisfies the conditions of a Riemann-Hilbert problem relative to the jump contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$, with a jump condition of the form $\mathbf{P}_+(z) = \mathbf{P}_-(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)$ on each oriented arc of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$, and with the normalization condition $\mathbf{P}(z) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $z \to \infty$. The jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)$ is defined on the arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$ as follows:

- For $z \in \Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})} \setminus (\partial\mathbb{D}_a \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_b \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*})$, we have $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}$. The outer parametrix and its inverse are uniformly bounded independently of $\epsilon > 0$ for z outside of the three disks, and $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ is exponentially small in the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ (in both the L^∞ and weighted L^1 sense, with weight z^2, as can be easily shown). Therefore $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ obeys a similar exponential estimate for such z. These estimates are uniform for $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma$ with $K(x;\epsilon) \leq M$.
- For $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_a$ (respectively, $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_b$), we have $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(a,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(b,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}$), and therefore from (3-17) and (3-18) we have $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) - \mathbb{I} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ in the L^∞ sense on both disk boundaries, uniformly for $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma$ with $K(x;\epsilon) \leq M$.
- For $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, we have $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)^{-1}$, which is given by (3-30). Let $f(x;\epsilon)$ be defined by

$$f = f(x;\epsilon) := \epsilon^{K(x;\epsilon)/2} e^{-\mathfrak{c}(x)/\epsilon}. \tag{3-35}$$

  Because $|f(x;\epsilon)|$ can occupy the full range of values $\epsilon^{1/4} \leq |f(x;\epsilon)| < \epsilon^{-1/4}$, $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ is not generally small for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ although it is bounded[1].

The boundary values of $\mathbf{P}$ are required to be taken in the classical (Hölder-continuous) sense.

3.5.1. *Formulation of a parametrix for* $\mathbf{P}(z)$. Due to the contribution to the jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)$ from points $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, the preliminary error $\mathbf{P}(z)$ does not generally satisfy a Riemann-Hilbert problem of small-norm type (see [7, Appendix B] for a self-contained description of such problems and their solution). Following the approach of [6], we proceed by building a *parametrix for the error*. The term $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ in (3-30) is shorthand for the matrix

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \mathbf{G}(z;x,\epsilon) := e^{i\pi\sigma_3/4}\mathbf{H}^{(K(x;\epsilon))}(\epsilon^{-1/2}W(z;x))(\epsilon^{-1/2}W(z;x))^{-K(x;\epsilon)\sigma_3}e^{-i\pi\sigma_3/4} - \mathbb{I}, \tag{3-36}$$

and hence we have

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left[\mathbb{I} + f^{\sigma_3}\mathbf{G}(z)f^{-\sigma_3}\right]\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}. \tag{3-37}$$

By expanding the formulae (3-28) for large ζ, one obtains that

$$\mathbf{G}(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dfrac{1}{2\pi h_K^2} \\ -2\pi h_{K-1}^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{W} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4}K(K-1) & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{4}K(K+1) \end{bmatrix}\frac{\epsilon}{W^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\epsilon^{3/2}}{W^3}\right), \tag{3-38}$$

where $W = W(z;x)$, and by convention $h_{-1} := 0$. Since W is bounded away from zero on $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, the inequalities $\epsilon^{1/4} \leq |f| < \epsilon^{-1/4}$ valid for $K \geq 1$ imply that

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\mathbb{I} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dfrac{f^2}{2\pi h_K^2} \\ -\dfrac{2\pi h_{K-1}^2}{f^2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{W} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}, \quad K \geq 1, \tag{3-39}$$

with $W = W(z;x)$, $f = f(x;\epsilon)$, and $K = K(x;\epsilon)$. For $K = 0$ we have only the upper bound $|f| < \epsilon^{-1/4}$ but $h_{K-1} = 0$, and therefore

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,0)}(z)\left(\mathbb{I} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\dfrac{f^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\frac{\epsilon^{1/2}}{W} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/2})\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,0)}(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}, \quad K = 0. \tag{3-40}$$

Furthermore, the expression (3-39) can be simplified in two different ways depending on whether $|f| \leq 1$ or $|f| \geq 1$:

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\dfrac{2\pi\epsilon^{1/2}h_{K-1}^2}{f^2W} & 1 \end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1},\ z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*},\ \epsilon^{1/2} \leq |f|^2 \leq 1,\ K \geq 1, \tag{3-41}$$

[1]The given range of values of $|f(x;\epsilon)|$ holds for $K \geq 1$. When $K = 0$ we also allow $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{c}(x))$ to become arbitrarily positive, and this means that while we still have the upper bound $|f(x;\epsilon)| < \epsilon^{-1/4}$, the lower bound can be exponentially small. However, when $K = 0$, the factor $\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ in (3-30) is upper-triangular, and therefore the lower bound is immaterial. We still conclude that for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})} - \mathbb{I}$ is bounded although not generally small.

and

(3-42)
$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & -\dfrac{\epsilon^{1/2}f^2}{2\pi h_K^2 W}\\ 0 & 1\end{bmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1},\ z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*},\ 1\le|f|^2<\epsilon^{-1/2},\ K\ge 1.$$

By keeping only the leading terms in (3-40)–(3-42), and ignoring all other jump discontinuities of $\mathbf{P}(z)$, we arrive at an explicitly solvable model for $\mathbf{P}(z)$:

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 4 (Parametrix for the error)**.** *Let $s=\pm$ be a sign determined by x and ϵ as follows:*

(3-43)
$$s = s(x;\epsilon) := \begin{cases}\operatorname{sgn}(\log(|f(x;\epsilon)|)), & K(x;\epsilon)\ge 1\\ +, & K(x;\epsilon)=0.\end{cases}$$

Seek a 2×2 matrix function $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)=\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z;x,\epsilon)$ with the following properties:

Analyticity: *$\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ is analytic for $z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, and takes Hölder-continuous boundary values $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_+(z)$ (respectively, $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)$) on $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ from outside (respectively, inside).*

Jump condition: *The boundary values are related for $z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ by $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_+(z)=\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)$, where*

(3-44)
$$\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) := \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\mathbb{I}-\frac{Q_s}{W(z)}\sigma_s\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}.$$

Here, the Pauli matrices $\sigma_\pm$ are defined by (1-18) *and the constants (i.e., independent of z) $Q_\pm = Q_\pm(x;\epsilon)$ are defined by*

(3-45)
$$Q_- := \frac{2\pi\epsilon^{1/2}h_{K-1}^2}{f^2}\quad\text{and}\quad Q_+ := \frac{\epsilon^{1/2}f^2}{2\pi h_K^2}.$$

Normalization: *$\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)\to\mathbb{I}$ as $z\to\infty$.*

Note that Q_s is bounded as $\epsilon\to 0$ as a consequence of the definition (3-31)–(3-32) of $K(x;\epsilon)$, the definition (3-35) of $f(x;\epsilon)$, and the definition (3-43) of the sign s. We also have the important identity

(3-46)
$$Q_+Q_- = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon K.$$

For later use, we let $\overline{s}=\overline{s}(x;\epsilon)$ denote the sign opposite to s. While Q_s is merely bounded, $Q_{\overline{s}}=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ (and in the case that $K(x;\epsilon)=0$, $Q_{\overline{s}}=0$).

3.5.2. *Solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 4.* Observe that the jump matrix $\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)$ has a meromorphic continuation to the interior of the disk $\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, the only singularity of which is a simple pole at $z=z_*(x)$ coming from the simple zero of W. Based on this observation, we introduce the auxiliary unknown $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ by setting

(3-47)
$$\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z) := \begin{cases}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z), & z\in\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{z_*},\\ \dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z), & z\in\mathbb{D}_{z_*}\setminus\{z_*(x)\}.\end{cases}$$

It follows from the jump condition satisfied by $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ that $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ admits a common analytic continuation to $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ from either side, and hence may be regarded as a meromorphic function on the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ with at worst a simple pole at the point $z=z_*$. The normalization condition on $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ implies that $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(\infty)=\mathbb{I}$, and therefore $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ necessarily has the form

(3-48)
$$\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z) = \mathbb{I} + (z-z_*(x))^{-1}\mathbf{B}$$

for some constant matrix $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}(x;\epsilon)$ to be determined. To find $\mathbf{B}$, we note that, since $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ is analytic at $z=z_*$,

(3-49)
$$\left(\mathbb{I}+(z-z_*)^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)^{-1} = \ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)^{-1} = \dot{\mathbf{P}}(z) = \mathcal{O}(1),\quad z\to z_*.$$

But, by direct calculation, the left-hand side is

(3-50)
$$\begin{aligned}\left(\mathbb{I}+(z-z_*)^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)^{-1} &= \left(\mathbb{I}+(z-z_*)^{-1}\mathbf{B}\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\mathbb{I}+\frac{Q_s}{W(z)}\sigma_s\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}\\ &= (z-z_*)^{-2}\left[\rho_0 Q_s \mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\right]\\ &\quad+(z-z_*)^{-1}\Big[\rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\\ &\qquad+\mathbf{B}\left(\mathbb{I}+\rho_1 Q_s\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}+\rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_1\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}-\rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\right)\Big]\\ &\quad+\mathcal{O}(1)\end{aligned}$$

in the limit $z\to z_*$, where we recall that $s=\pm$ is the sign defined by (3-43) and where ρ_0 and ρ_1 (respectively, $\mathbf{F}_0$ and $\mathbf{F}_1$) are the first two coefficients in the convergent power series expansion of $1/W(z)$ (respectively, $\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)$) about $z=z_*$:

(3-51)
$$\frac{1}{W(z)}=\rho_0(z-z_*)^{-1}+\rho_1+\mathcal{O}(z-z_*)\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)=\mathbf{F}_0+(z-z_*)\mathbf{F}_1+\mathcal{O}((z-z_*)^2).$$

Therefore, comparing (3-49) and (3-50), the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ is required to satisfy the equations (assuming $Q_\pm\neq 0$)

(3-52)
$$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_\pm=\mathbf{0}\quad\text{and}\quad\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}_0\left(\mathbb{I}+\rho_0 Q_\pm\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1\sigma_\pm\right)+\rho_0 Q_\pm\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_\pm=\mathbf{0}.$$

These matrix equations are easily solved by separating the columns and taking into account that $\sigma_\pm$ has only one nonzero column. For example, in the case that $s=-$, the first equation amounts to the condition that $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}_0=(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{0})$, that is, the second column of $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{F}_0$ vanishes. The second column of the second equation is then an exact identity, while the first column yields a 2×2 linear system on the two elements of $\mathbf{v}$. The result of applying this procedure (also in the other case that $s=+$) is the explicit formula

(3-53)
$$\mathbf{B}=-\frac{\rho_0 Q_s\phi_s}{1+\rho_0 Q_s\phi_s}\cdot\frac{1}{\phi_s}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1},$$

where

(3-54)
$$\phi_+:=(\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1)_{21}\quad\text{and}\quad\phi_-:=(\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1)_{12}.$$

This completes the construction of $\ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ via the formula (3-48), and hence of $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ solving Riemann-Hilbert Problem 4 by means of (3-47). Note that the solution exists as long as the denominator in (3-53) is nonzero.

Now $\rho_0=W'(z_*)^{-1}$, and to calculate the derivative we differentiate (3-20) twice with respect to z and set $z=z_*$. Since $h_+'(z;x)=\frac{3}{2}(z-z_*)r(z)$, it follows that $W'(z_*)^2=\frac{3}{2}r_*$. The correct sign of the square root to take to obtain $W'(z_*)$ and hence ρ_0 can be determined by analyzing the case that x is a positive real number near ∂T. In this case r_* is positive real, and since $W'(z_*)$ should also be positive real so that W is real and increasing along L (which may be taken to coincide with the real axis locally near the critical point), we see that the correct choice is the positive square root for $x>0$ analytically continued to the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$. Thus,

(3-55)
$$W'(z_*)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}r_*^{1/2}\quad\text{and hence}\quad\rho_0=\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}r_*^{-1/2}.$$

These formulae hold true also for complex x, with the interpretation that the power functions are principal branches. Next, we calculate $\mathbf{F}_0$ and the off-diagonal elements of $\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1$ to obtain $\phi_\pm$. According to (3-9), (3-14), and (3-23), we have

(3-56)
$$\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z):=\mathcal{T}(\infty)^{-K\sigma_3}\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\beta(z)+\beta(z)^{-1} & \beta(z)-\beta(z)^{-1}\\ \beta(z)-\beta(z)^{-1} & \beta(z)+\beta(z)^{-1}\end{bmatrix}(-i\eta(z))^{K\sigma_3},$$

where

(3-57)
$$\eta(z)=\eta(z;x):=i\frac{\mathcal{T}(z;x)}{W(z;x)}.$$

Note that since $\mathcal{T}$ and W both vanish to precisely first order at $z=z_*$, the function $\eta(z)$ is analytic and nonzero at $z=z_*$. We introduce the related notation

(3-58)
$$\eta_*=\eta_*(x):=\eta(z_*(x);x).$$

Of course, we obtain $\mathbf{F}_0$ simply by setting $z = z_*$ in (3-56): $\mathbf{F}_0 = \mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z_*)$. Using (1-18) therefore yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_\pm\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} &= \frac{1}{4}(-1)^K\eta_*^{\pm 2K}\begin{bmatrix}\mp(\beta_*^2-\beta_*^{-2}) & \pm(-1)^K(\beta_*\pm\beta_*^{-1})^2t_*^{2K}\\ \mp(-1)^K(\beta_*\mp\beta_*^{-1})^2t_*^{-2K} & \pm(\beta_*^2-\beta_*^{-2})\end{bmatrix}\\ &= (-1)^K\frac{\eta_*^{\pm 2K}}{4r_*}\begin{bmatrix}\mp\Delta & 2(-1)^K(r_*\mp S)t_*^{2K}\\ 2(-1)^K(r_*\pm S)t_*^{-2K} & \pm\Delta\end{bmatrix}.\end{aligned} \tag{3-59}$$

(In the second step we have used the identities (3-7) and the definition (2-7) of z_*.) To obtain $\mathbf{F}_1$, we differentiate with respect to z and then evaluate at $z = z_*$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{F}_1 =&\mathcal{T}(\infty)^{-K\sigma_3}\frac{\beta'(z_*)}{2\beta_*}\begin{bmatrix}\beta_*-\beta_*^{-1} & \beta_*+\beta_*^{-1}\\ \beta_*+\beta_*^{-1} & \beta_*-\beta_*^{-1}\end{bmatrix}(-i\eta_*)^{K\sigma_3}\\ &-i\mathcal{T}(\infty)^{-K\sigma_3}\frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix}\beta_*+\beta_*^{-1} & \beta_*-\beta_*^{-1}\\ \beta_*-\beta_*^{-1} & \beta_*+\beta_*^{-1}\end{bmatrix}K\eta'(z_*)\sigma_3(-i\eta_*)^{K\sigma_3-\mathbb{I}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3-60}$$

Using the fact that $\det(\mathbf{F}_0) = 1$, it then follows that

$$\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1 = (-1)^K\frac{\beta'(z_*)}{\beta_*}\begin{bmatrix}0 & \eta_*^{-2K}\\ \eta_*^{2K} & 0\end{bmatrix} + \frac{K\eta'(z_*)}{\eta_*}\sigma_3. \tag{3-61}$$

Differentiation of (3-6) then yields

$$\frac{\beta'(z_*)}{\beta_*} = \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{z_*-a}-\frac{1}{z_*-b}\right] = i\frac{i\Delta}{4r_*^2}. \tag{3-62}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi_\pm = i(-1)^K\frac{\eta_*^{\pm 2K}i\Delta}{4r_*^2}, \tag{3-63}$$

and combining this with (3-59) yields

$$\frac{1}{\phi_\pm}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_\pm\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} = -\frac{r_*}{\Delta}\begin{bmatrix}\mp\Delta & 2(-1)^K(r_*\mp S)t_*^{2K}\\ 2(-1)^K(r_*\pm S)t_*^{-2K} & \pm\Delta\end{bmatrix}. \tag{3-64}$$

Note also that by combining (3-15) and (3-55) we have

$$\eta_*(x) = i\lim_{z\to z_*(x)}\frac{\mathcal{T}(z;x)}{W(z;x)} = i\frac{\mathcal{T}'(z_*(x);x)}{W'(z_*(x);x)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{i\Delta(x)}{4r_*(x)^{5/2}}. \tag{3-65}$$

When $x > 0$, $\eta_*(x)$ is a positive real number, and η_* is an analytic function of $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$.

3.5.3. *Singularities of* $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$. The parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ will exist as long as x is such that the matrix $\mathbf{B}$ exists, i.e., the denominator $1+\rho_0Q_s\phi_s$ is nonzero. Moreover, since Q_s is bounded, $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ will be uniformly bounded in z, x, and ϵ as long as $1+\rho_0Q_s\phi_s$ is bounded away from zero. Since $\det(\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)) \equiv 1$, as is easily checked, the same holds for the inverse matrix. It is the goal of the subsequent discussion to clarify the conditions on x necessary to guarantee the uniform boundedness of $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$.

Combining (3-35), (3-45), (3-55), (3-63), and (3-65), and using the definition (1-20) of ϵ in terms of m, we obtain

$$1+\rho_0Q_+\phi_+ = 1-(-1)^{m-K}e^{-2X_K/\epsilon} \tag{3-66}$$

and

$$1+\rho_0Q_-\phi_- = 1-\left[(-1)^{m-J}e^{-2X_J/\epsilon}\right]^{-1}, \quad J := K-1, \tag{3-67}$$

where

$$X_n = X_n(x;\epsilon) := \mathfrak{d}(x)+\frac{1}{2}\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon\log(\epsilon^{-1})-\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon\ell(x)+\epsilon\log(\sqrt{2\pi}h_n), \quad n = 0,1,2,3,\dots, \tag{3-68}$$

$\mathfrak{d}(\cdot)$ is defined in terms of $\mathfrak{c}(\cdot)$ by (2-15), and

$$\ell(x) := \log\left(\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\frac{i\Delta(x)}{4r_*(x)^{5/2}}\right). \tag{3-69}$$

Here, the logarithm and power function in its argument are defined by analytic continuation from the ray $\arg(x) = 0$ to the full sector $\mathcal{S}_0$. Indeed, for $x > 0$ we have $r_*(x) > 0$ and $i\Delta(x) > 0$. The value of $\ell(x)$ for $\arg(x) = 0$ is then defined to be real for $x > 0$. It is easy to see that this definition makes $\ell(x)$ a Schwarz-symmetric analytic function, i.e., $\ell(x^*) = \ell(x)^*$ holds for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$.

According to Lemma 2, the function $\mathfrak{d}(x)$ is analytic and univalent for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$, and $\mathfrak{d}(x)$ is real for real positive x. It follows that the exponent X_n defined by (3-68) is real-valued for all $x > 0$. Of course the edge of the elliptic region T in the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$ is given by the equation $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) = 0$. Along the edge from $x_c e^{2\pi i/3}$ to $x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ (bottom to top), $\mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{d}(x))$ varies monotonically from $-\pi/2$ to $\pi/2$, also according to Lemma 2. A similar result involving the function $\ell(\cdot)$ is the following, the proof of which can be found in §A.3.

Lemma 4. *As x varies along the edge $\partial T \cap \mathcal{S}_0$ from $x_c e^{2\pi i/3}$ to $x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ (bottom to top), $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ varies continuously from $-\pi/4$ to $\pi/4$ with $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x_e)) = 0$, where x_e denotes the real point of $\partial T \cap \mathcal{S}_0$.*

Recall that if $s(x;\epsilon) = +$ (respectively, if $s(x;\epsilon) = -$) and also if the expression written in (3-66) (respectively, the expression written in (3-67)) is bounded away from zero, then $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ will be under control. It is clear from (3-66)–(3-67) that the condition that $1+\rho_0 Q_- \phi_-$ vanishes is exactly the same as the condition that $1+\rho_0 Q_+ \phi_+$ vanishes, provided that K is replaced by $K-1$ in the former. This shows that the existence of a singularity of $\mathbf{B}$ is insensitive to the jump discontinuities in the integer-valued function $K(x;\epsilon)$ defined by (3-31)–(3-32). Taking $n = K$ (for $s = +$) or $n = K - 1$ (for $s = -$), the condition $1 + \rho_0 Q_s \phi_s = 0$ is equivalent to

$$X_n(x;\epsilon) \in \begin{cases} i\pi\epsilon\mathbb{Z}, & m \equiv n \pmod 2, \\ i\pi\epsilon(\mathbb{Z} + \tfrac{1}{2}), & m \not\equiv n \pmod 2. \end{cases} \tag{3-70}$$

To find the singularities of $\mathbf{B}$, and hence of $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$, we temporarily ignore any relation between the integer $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and x. Consider solving (3-70) perturbatively in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, or equivalently, $m \to \infty$. Let α be a fixed real number in the interval $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, let $n \geq 0$ be a fixed integer, and suppose (first) that m is tending to infinity through a sequence of integers of the same parity as n. We then use (3-68) to write (3-70) in the form

$$\mathfrak{d}(x) + \frac{1}{2}\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon \log(\epsilon^{-1}) - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\epsilon\ell(x) + \epsilon \log(\sqrt{2\pi} h_n) = i\pi\epsilon(N_0(\alpha;\epsilon) + N_1), \tag{3-71}$$

where $N_0(\alpha;\epsilon) := [\![\epsilon^{-1}\alpha]\!]$ and where $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is assumed to be bounded. Given α, n, and N_1, there is a unique solution x of this equation with an asymptotic expansion as $\epsilon \to 0$ of the form

$$x = x_0 + x_1 \epsilon \log(\epsilon^{-1}) + x_2 \epsilon + o(\epsilon), \quad \epsilon \to 0. \tag{3-72}$$

Indeed, since $\epsilon N_0(\alpha;\epsilon) \to \alpha$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, we obtain $x_0 = \mathfrak{d}^{-1}(i\pi\alpha)$, where the inverse function to $\mathfrak{d}$ is guaranteed to exist and be analytic on the imaginary axis between $-i\pi/2$ and $i\pi/2$ by Lemma 2. Note that x_0 lies on the boundary arc ∂T in the range $|\arg(x_0)| < \pi/3$. At subsequent orders in perturbation theory we obtain

$$x_1 = -\frac{n + \frac{1}{2}}{2\mathfrak{d}'(x_0)} \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = \frac{i\pi([\![\epsilon^{-1}\alpha]\!] - \epsilon^{-1}\alpha + N_1) + (n + \frac{1}{2})\ell(x_0) - \log(\sqrt{2\pi} h_n)}{\mathfrak{d}'(x_0)}. \tag{3-73}$$

Note that $-\frac{1}{2} < [\![\epsilon^{-1}\alpha]\!] - \epsilon^{-1}\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$ by definition of the nearest integer function $[\![\cdot]\!]$. Alternately, we could write $\ell(x) = \widetilde{\ell}(\mathfrak{d})$ using univalence of $\mathfrak{d}$ and obtain a simpler expansion of $\mathfrak{d}$:

$$\mathfrak{d} = i\pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(n + \tfrac{1}{2})\epsilon \log(\epsilon^{-1}) + \Big(i\pi([\![\epsilon^{-1}\alpha]\!] - \epsilon^{-1}\alpha + N_1) + (n + \tfrac{1}{2})\widetilde{\ell}(i\pi\alpha) - \log(\sqrt{2\pi} h_n)\Big)\,\epsilon + o(\epsilon). \tag{3-74}$$

In the case that m tends to infinity through a sequence of integers of opposite parity to n, the above formulae hold true with N_1 replaced by $N_1 + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$.

These calculations show that the singularities of $\mathbf{B}$ lie ϵ-close to curves in the x-plane that are mapped into vertical straight lines $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}) = -\frac{1}{2}(n + \frac{1}{2})\epsilon \log(\epsilon^{-1})$ in the $\mathfrak{d}$-plane, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Given a value of $\alpha \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, the singularities of $\mathbf{B}$ near the line indexed by n with $|\mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{d}) - \pi\alpha| = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ form an approximate vertical lattice in the $\mathfrak{d}$-plane indexed by $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ with spacing $i\pi\epsilon$. The lattice is offset from the point $\mathfrak{d} = i\pi\alpha - \frac{1}{2}(n + \frac{1}{2})\epsilon \log(\epsilon^{-1})$ by a complex shift of size $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ that depends on both m (or ϵ) and n (as well as α). Of particular interest is the way that the imaginary part of this offset depends on the integers m and n. Holding m fixed, the difference between the imaginary part of the offset for line n and $n - 1$ is

given by $\epsilon\pi/2 + \epsilon \mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\ell}(i\pi\alpha))$ (the term $\epsilon\pi/2$ comes from the replacement of N_1 by $N_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ in (3-74)). This implies a vertical (in the $\mathfrak{d}$-plane) "staggering" effect of the lattices corresponding to neighboring values of n when examined near a common fixed value $\pi\alpha$ of $\mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{d})$. The amount of staggering as a fraction of the lattice spacing varies with α (as one moves along the edge). For example, when $\alpha = 0$ (and hence we are examining the singularities of $\mathbf{B}$ near the real axis in the x-plane) we have $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\ell}(0)) = 0$ and therefore the vertical displacement of the lattices corresponding to neighboring values of n is half of the lattice spacing. As α increases to the extreme value of $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathrm{Im}(\widetilde{\ell}(i\pi\alpha))$ increases to $\pi/4$, and therefore near the upper corner $x = x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ the vertical displacement of the lattices corresponding to incrementing the value of n is $3/4$ (or equivalently, $-1/4$) of the lattice spacing. (This effect is clearly visible, with the correct staggering fractions, in Figure 9.) On the other hand, if n is held fixed, the vertical shift of the lattice in the $\mathfrak{d}$-plane associated with replacing m with $m+1$ is, to leading order, $\epsilon\pi/2 - \epsilon\pi\alpha$, where again the first term comes from replacing N_1 by $N_1 + \frac{1}{2}$ in (3-74). Therefore when $\alpha = 0$ one again has a vertical staggering by half of the lattice spacing, while as α increases to $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, the vertical staggering associated with replacing m by $m+1$ becomes an integer multiple of the spacing itself, and hence the singularities of $\mathbf{B}$ near the corners of T do not move much as m changes. (This effect is also visible in Figure 9.)



FIGURE 9. The poles of $\mathcal{U}_{24}((24 - \frac{1}{2})^{2/3}x)$ (triangles) and the poles of $\mathcal{U}_{25}((25 - \frac{1}{2})^{2/3}x)$ (dots) along an edge of ∂T in the (upper half) complex x-plane.

We define a subset of the full sector $\mathcal{S}_0$ as follows:

$$\mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta) := \{y \in \mathcal{S}_0 : \ |y - x| > \delta\epsilon \ \text{ for all } x \text{ solving (3-70) for any } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \tag{3-75}$$

The set $\mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ omits from the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$ all "holes" of radius $\delta\epsilon$ centered at singularities of $\mathbf{B}$. We have the following result.

Lemma 5. *Let $\delta > 0$ and $M > 0$ be given. Then $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z; x, (m - \frac{1}{2})^{-1})$ and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z; x, (m - \frac{1}{2})^{-1})^{-1}$ are uniformly bounded for $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$, for $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ with $K(x; (m - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}) \leq M$, and for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$. Under the same conditions, $\mathbf{B}(x; (m - \frac{1}{2})^{-1}) = \mathcal{O}(Q_s)$, where the sign s is determined as a function of x and ϵ by* (3-43).

Proof. The condition $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ bounds the denominator $1 + \rho_0 Q_s \phi_s$ away from zero. □

3.6. **Error analysis by small-norm theory.** Let positive numbers σ, δ, and M be given, and suppose that $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ and that $K(x; \epsilon) \leq M$. Consider the matrix (the *error* in approximating $\mathbf{P}(z)$ by its

parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$) defined by

$$\mathbf{E}(z) := \mathbf{P}(z)\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)^{-1} \tag{3-76}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ for which both factors are defined. Thus, $\mathbf{E}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$, where $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})} = \Sigma^{(\mathbf{P})}$ because the jump contour for $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ is contained within that of $\mathbf{P}(z)$. Let $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)$ denote the jump matrix for $\mathbf{E}(z)$, i.e., $\mathbf{E}_+(z) = \mathbf{E}_-(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)$ holds at each regular point of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$. For $z \in \Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})} \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$, we have

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad z \in \Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})} \setminus \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}, \tag{3-77}$$

because $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ and its inverse are uniformly bounded in the complex plane according to Lemma 5, and $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ is dominated by its uniformly $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ behavior for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_a \cup \partial\mathbb{D}_b$. The estimate (3-77) holds both in $L^\infty(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})$ and in the weighted L^1-space $L^1(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}, z^2)$. On the other hand, for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*} \subset \Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$, the jump matrix for $\mathbf{E}(z)$ is generally a larger (but still small) perturbation of the identity:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z) &= \dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)^{-1} \\ &= \dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{Q_{\overline{s}}}{W(z)}\sigma_{\overline{s}} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\right)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)^{-1}, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*},\end{aligned} \tag{3-78}$$

where we recall that $\overline{s}$ is the sign opposite to s. Note that since $Q_{\overline{s}} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, (3-78) could be written with less precision simply as $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ with the estimate holding uniformly for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$. Combining these estimates and applying the theory of small-norm Riemann-Hilbert problems as described in [7, Appendix B], we see that $\mathbf{E}(z)$ is determined uniquely by its jump matrix (3-77)–(3-78) together with the normalization condition $\mathbf{E}(z) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $z \to \infty$, and it has an expansion for large z of the form

$$\mathbf{E}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \mathbf{E}_1 z^{-1} + \mathbf{E}_2 z^{-2} + o(z^{-2}), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{3-79}$$

where the overall $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ estimate of $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ and the more precise estimates (3-77)–(3-78) imply that (see equation (B-18) of [7])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_1 &= \frac{Q_{\overline{s}}}{2\pi i}\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)^{-1}\frac{dz}{W(z)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \\ \mathbf{E}_2 &= \frac{Q_{\overline{s}}}{2\pi i}\oint_{\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}} \dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}^{(z_*,K)}(z)^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}_-(z)^{-1}\frac{z\,dz}{W(z)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{3-80}$$

The error terms are uniform with respect to x in the specified domain because a finite number of different contours $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ suffice even though x can take an infinite number of values (for details about how these contours are chosen, see [7, §3.6.3]). The explicit terms in (3-80) may be computed by residues (recall that $\partial\mathbb{D}_{z_*}$ is oriented negatively):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_1 &= -\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \\ \mathbf{E}_2 &= -z_*\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{3-81}$$

Of course $\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ for $K = 0$ because $Q_- = 0$ in this case. To further simplify these matrices for $K \geq 1$, we first calculate $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)$ by continuing the expansion (3-50) to the next order in $z - z_*$. Using the fact that $\mathbf{B} = \mathcal{O}(Q_s)$ according to Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*) &= \mathbf{F}_0(\mathbb{I} + \rho_1 Q_s\sigma_s)\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_1\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} - \rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{B}) \\ &= \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(Q_s).\end{aligned} \tag{3-82}$$

Similarly, by expanding instead $\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)(\mathbb{I} + (z - z_*)^{-1}\mathbf{B})^{-1}$, which equals $\dot{\mathbf{V}}^{(\mathbf{P})}(z)(\mathbb{I} - (z - z_*)^{-1}\mathbf{B})$ since $\mathbf{B}^2 = \mathbf{0}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z_*)^{-1} &= \mathbf{F}_0(\mathbb{I} - \rho_1 Q_s\sigma_s)\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} - \rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_1\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \rho_0 Q_s\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_s\mathbf{F}_0^{-1}\mathbf{F}_1\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{B}) \\ &= \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(Q_s).\end{aligned} \tag{3-83}$$

Therefore, using (3-46),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_1 &= -\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \\ \mathbf{E}_2 &= -z_*\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0\sigma_{\overline{s}}\mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)\end{aligned} \tag{3-84}$$

both hold for $1 \le K \le M$ with $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$. Finally, since $Q_{\overline{s}}^2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ (a fact which also bounds $1 + \rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\phi_{\overline{s}}$ away from zero), we choose to write these formulae in the equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{E}_1 &= -\frac{\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\phi_{\overline{s}}}{1+\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\phi_{\overline{s}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_{\overline{s}}} \mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_{\overline{s}} \mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon),\\ \mathbf{E}_2 &= -z_* \cdot \frac{\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\phi_{\overline{s}}}{1+\rho_0 Q_{\overline{s}}\phi_{\overline{s}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_{\overline{s}}} \mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_{\overline{s}} \mathbf{F}_0^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).\end{aligned} \tag{3-85}$$

The form (3-85) yields the most symmetric expressions for the asymptotic behavior of the rational Painlevé-II functions, allowing us to write formulae that do not involve the sign s defined by (3-43), as we will now see.

◁ **Remark 1.** Without computing the leading terms of $\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_1$ by residues, one may obtain directly from the crude estimate $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z) - \mathbb{I} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ that $\mathbf{E}_1 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ and $\mathbf{E}_2 = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$. This is enough to obtain asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions $\mathcal{U}_m$, $\mathcal{V}_m$, $\mathcal{P}_m$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ that are accurate also to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$. Of course, one may freely modify the leading terms in such formulae by adding in quantities of size $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$, and the estimate of the error will be unchanged. It turns out that a natural way to so modify the leading terms is to add in precisely those $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ quantities that correspond to the leading terms of $\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_2$. This choice leads to the simplest asymptotic formulae for $\mathcal{U}_m$, $\mathcal{V}_m$, $\mathcal{P}_m$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m$.

A key point is therefore that the same corrections that one would like to add to arrive at the simplest possible formulae for $\mathcal{U}_m$, $\mathcal{V}_m$, $\mathcal{P}_m$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ (those corresponding to the leading terms in $\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_2$ computed above by residues) are also the correct terms to add to reduce the error in size from $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. We only wish to emphasize that the calculation of residues is not necessary if the cruder error estimate of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/2})$ suffices for applications. ▷

3.7. **Asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions.** In terms of $\mathbf{E}(z)$, the matrix $\mathbf{O}(z)$ can be represented explicitly as

$$\mathbf{O}(z) = \mathbf{P}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) = \mathbf{E}(z)\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z). \tag{3-86}$$

The product $\mathbf{E}(z)\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ therefore plays a similar role in the analysis of the Painlevé-II rational functions when x is near the edge ∂T that the matrix $\mathbf{E}(z)$ alone plays for x outside of the elliptic region. Adapting the exact formulae (3-3) for the functions $\mathcal{U}_m$, $\mathcal{V}_m$, $\mathcal{P}_m$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ with this modification, we obtain

$$\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = \dot{O}_{1,12} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{12}, \tag{3-87}$$

$$\epsilon^{-(2-\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_m = \dot{O}_{1,21} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{21}, \tag{3-88}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{1/3}\mathcal{P}_m = \dot{O}_{1,22} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{22}\\ - \frac{\dot{O}_{2,12} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 + \mathbf{E}_2)_{12} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{11}\dot{O}_{1,12} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{12}\dot{O}_{1,22} + (\mathbf{E}_1\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1)_{12}}{\dot{O}_{1,12} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{12}},\end{aligned} \tag{3-89}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon^{1/3}\mathcal{Q}_m = -\dot{O}_{1,11} - (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{11}\\ + \frac{\dot{O}_{2,21} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 + \mathbf{E}_2)_{21} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{21}\dot{O}_{1,11} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{22}\dot{O}_{1,21} + (\mathbf{E}_1\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1)_{21}}{\dot{O}_{1,21} + (\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 + \mathbf{E}_1)_{21}}.\end{aligned} \tag{3-90}$$

Here, $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_2$ (respectively, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2$) are matrix coefficients in the expansion of $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ (respectively, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$) for large z. Since $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z) = \ddot{\mathbf{P}}(z)$ for sufficiently large $|z|$, from (3-48) we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 z^{-1} + \dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{3-91}$$

where, also using (3-53), we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{P}}_1 = \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\rho_0 Q_s \phi_s}{1+\rho_0 Q_s \phi_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_s} \mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_s \mathbf{F}_0^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\mathbf{P}}_2 = z_* \mathbf{B} = -z_* \cdot \frac{\rho_0 Q_s \phi_s}{1+\rho_0 Q_s \phi_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_s} \mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_s \mathbf{F}_0^{-1}. \tag{3-92}$$

We also need the first few coefficients in the large-z expansion of the matrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$. Since $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out},K)}(z)$ for sufficiently large $|z|$, we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) = \mathbb{I} + \dot{\mathbf{O}}_1 z^{-1} + \dot{\mathbf{O}}_2 z^{-2} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad z \to \infty, \tag{3-93}$$

where the coefficients $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2$ may be computed by combining (3-6), (3-9), (3-10), and (3-13) with (3-14). The result is that

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{K\Delta}{4}\left(\frac{2}{it_*} + \frac{4S}{\Delta}\right) & (-1)^K \frac{\Delta t_*^{2K}}{4} \\ (-1)^K \frac{\Delta}{4t_*^{2K}} & -\frac{K\Delta}{4}\left(\frac{2}{it_*} + \frac{4S}{\Delta}\right) \end{bmatrix} \tag{3-94}$$

and

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{O}_{2,11} & (-1)^K \frac{\Delta t_*^{2K}}{4}\left(\frac{S}{2} - \frac{K\Delta}{4}\left(\frac{2}{it_*} + \frac{4S}{\Delta}\right)\right) \\ (-1)^K \frac{\Delta}{4t_*^{2K}}\left(\frac{S}{2} + \frac{K\Delta}{4}\left(\frac{2}{it_*} + \frac{4S}{\Delta}\right)\right) & \dot{O}_{2,22} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3-95}$$

Therefore, assuming that $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ and $K(x;\epsilon) \le M$ for some fixed constants $\sigma > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and $M > 0$, if $K \ge 1$ then

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = \\ &\qquad (-1)^K \frac{\Delta t_*^{2K}}{4} - \frac{\rho_0 Q_+ \phi_+}{1 + \rho_0 Q_+ \phi_+} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_+} (\mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_+ \mathbf{F}_0^{-1})_{12} - \frac{\rho_0 Q_- \phi_-}{1 + \rho_0 Q_- \phi_-} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_-} (\mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_- \mathbf{F}_0^{-1})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{3-96}$$

Note that as a consequence of taking the matrix $\mathbf{E}_1$ in the form (3-85), the explicit terms in this formula are independent of the sign s defined in (3-43). On the other hand, for $K = 0$ we have

$$\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = \frac{\Delta}{4} - \frac{\rho_0 Q_+ \phi_+}{1 + \rho_0 Q_+ \phi_+} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_+} (\mathbf{F}_0 \sigma_+ \mathbf{F}_0^{-1})_{12} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon). \tag{3-97}$$

Therefore, using (3-64) and (3-66)–(3-67), we obtain for $K \ge 1$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = -i(-1)^K t_*^{2K} \Bigg(&\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1) \\ &- \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1) \Bigg) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \end{aligned} \tag{3-98}$$

and for $K = 0$ that

$$\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\lambda/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = -i\left(\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1)\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \tag{3-99}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n(x;\epsilon) := \begin{cases} \coth(\epsilon^{-1} X_n), & n \equiv m \pmod 2, \\ \tanh(\epsilon^{-1} X_n), & n \not\equiv m \pmod 2. \end{cases} \tag{3-100}$$

It follows from the construction of $\lambda = \lambda(x)$ summarized in §2.1 that for real $x > 0$ we have $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda) = -\pi$. Let

$$\mu(x) := \lambda(x) + i\pi. \tag{3-101}$$

Then μ is a Schwarz-symmetric analytic function of x defined in the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$. Using (1-20), we then arrive at the following asymptotic formulae for $\mathcal{U}_m$: if $K(x;\epsilon) \ge 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &m^{-2m/3} e^{-m\mu} \mathcal{U}_m \\ &\qquad = e^{-1/3 - \mu/2} (-1)^{m-K} t_*^{2K} \left(\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1) - \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3-102}$$

while in the region where $K(x;\epsilon) = 0$,

$$m^{-2m/3} e^{-m\mu} \mathcal{U}_m = e^{-1/3-\mu/2} (-1)^m \left(\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}). \tag{3-103}$$

Note that μ, $i\Delta$, r_*, S, and X_n, $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ are all real-valued for positive real x. Similar calculations starting from (3-88) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &m^{2(m-1)/3} e^{m\mu} \mathcal{V}_m \\ &\quad = e^{1/3+\mu/2} \frac{(-1)^{m-K+1}}{t_*^{2K}} \left(\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1) - \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3-104}$$

when $K(x;\epsilon) \geq 1$, while

$$m^{2(m-1)/3} e^{m\mu} \mathcal{V}_m = e^{1/3+\mu/2} (-1)^{m+1} \left(\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1) \right) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \tag{3-105}$$

when $K(x;\epsilon) = 0$.

Now we show that we can dispense with the technical device of introducing the integer-valued function $K(x;\epsilon)$.

Theorem 1 (Asymptotics of $\mathcal{U}_m$ and $\mathcal{V}_m$ near an edge of T)**.** *Define $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = \dot{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m)$ by*

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} := (-1)^m e^{-1/3-\mu/2} \left[\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t_*^{2n} (\mathcal{H}_n - 1) \right] \tag{3-106}$$

and

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} := (-1)^{m+1} e^{1/3+\mu/2} \left[\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{t_*^{2n}} (\mathcal{H}_n - 1) \right], \tag{3-107}$$

where $S = S(x)$, $\Delta = \Delta(x)$, $r_* = r_*(x)$, $t_* = t_*(x)$, $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n(x;\epsilon) = \mathcal{H}_n(x;(m-\frac{1}{2})^{-1})$, and $\mu = \mu(x)$ are defined by (2-2), (2-4), (3-4), (3-11), (3-100)*, and* (3-101)*, respectively. Recall that $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$, $\mathfrak{d}(x)$, and $\mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ are defined by* (1-19), (2-15)*, and* (3-75)*, respectively, and that the Painlevé functions $\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_m(y)$ and $\mathcal{V}_m = \mathcal{V}_m(y)$ are given for positive integer m in* (1-4)*. Then, uniformly for $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^\infty(\delta)$ for fixed $\sigma > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, and $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) \geq -Mm^{-1}\log(m)$ for some fixed $M > 0$,*

$$m^{-2m/3} e^{-m\mu(x)} \mathcal{U}_m \left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) = \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \quad m \to +\infty \tag{3-108}$$

and

$$m^{2(m-1)/3} e^{m\mu(x)} \mathcal{V}_m \left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) = \dot{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \quad m \to +\infty. \tag{3-109}$$

Proof. Each x to which the theorem applies corresponds to a finite value of $K(x;\epsilon)$, say $K_0 \geq 0$. The identities written down in Lemma 3 imply that

$$-t_*^2 \left[\frac{i\Delta}{4} - \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_n + 1) \right] = \frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_n - 1) \tag{3-110}$$

holds for each n. Using this identity (repeatedly, starting with $n = 0$) to rewrite the terms in the sum for $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$ with $n \leq K_0 - 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^m e^{1/3+\mu/2} \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = (-1)^{K_0} t_*^{2K_0} \frac{i\Delta}{4} &+ \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S) \sum_{n=K_0}^{\infty} (-1)^n t_*^{2n} (\mathcal{H}_n - 1) \\ &- \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S) \sum_{n=0}^{K_0-1} (-1)^{n+1} t_*^{2(n+1)} (\mathcal{H}_n + 1). \end{aligned} \tag{3-111}$$

Now we use the fact that $K(x;\epsilon) = K_0$ to see that, for some constant $C > 0$ independent of n, $|\mathcal{H}_n - 1| \leq Cm^{K_0-n}$ while $|\mathcal{H}_n + 1| \leq Cm^{n-K_0+1}$. It therefore follows (i) that the infinite series converges for m sufficiently large by comparison to a geometric series and (ii) that if $K_0 > 0$ then

(3-112)
$$(-1)^m e^{1/3+\mu/2}\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = (-1)^{K_0} t_*^{2K_0}\left[\frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_{K_0} - 1) - \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_{K_0-1} + 1)\right] + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}),$$

while if $K_0 = 0$ then

(3-113)
$$(-1)^m e^{1/3+\mu/2}\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = \frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}).$$

By comparison with (3-102) and (3-103), the asymptotic formula (3-108) is proved. The corresponding asymptotic formula (3-109) for $\mathcal{V}_m$ is proved in a similar manner with the help of the identity

(3-114)
$$-\frac{1}{t_*^2}\left[\frac{i\Delta}{4} - \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_n + 1)\right] = \frac{i\Delta}{4} + \frac{r_*}{i\Delta}(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_n - 1),$$

which holds for each $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ by the identities in Lemma 3. □

The approximation formula for $\mathcal{U}_m$ is compared with the corresponding exact expression in Figures 10 and 11.



FIGURE 10. The function $m^{-2m/3}e^{-m\mu}\mathcal{U}_m$ plotted as a function of $x > 0$ (thick gray curves) compared with $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$ (thin black curves) for $m = 10$ (left) and $m = 20$ (right). The edge occurs at about $x = 1.445$.



FIGURE 11. The same as in Figure 10, but for x further from the edge inside T.

Next, consider the formula (3-89) for $\mathcal{P}_m$. Comparing with (3-87), taking into account (1-20) along with (3-101), and using Theorem 1, we see that the denominator in (3-89) for $\mathcal{P}_m$ can be written, up to a nonzero factor with modulus asymptotically independent of m, as $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1})$. The spacing between nearest zeros of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$, for x near ∂T but bounded away from the corners, scales as m^{-1}, and therefore the denominator in (3-89) will be bounded away from zero provided that x is bounded away from each zero of $\dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$ by a distance of δm^{-1} for some sufficiently small fixed $\delta > 0$. By analogy with the definition (3-75) of $\mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta)$, we therefore define the set

$$\mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta) := \left\{ y \in \mathcal{S}_0 : \ |y - x| > \delta\epsilon \ \text{ for all } x \text{ such that } \dot{\mathcal{U}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = 0 \right\}. \tag{3-115}$$

Assuming that $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta)$ therefore controls the denominator, and then assuming that $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta)$ shows that the matrix product $\mathbf{E}_1 \dot{\mathbf{P}}_1$ is $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ as a consequence of (3-46). Moreover, elsewhere in (3-89) the matrix coefficient $\mathbf{E}_j$ appears paired with the coefficient $\dot{\mathbf{P}}_j$, and this again implies a symmetry in the indices s and $\overline{s}$ that results in an asymptotic formula independent of the value of $s = \pm$. The formula we obtain in this way under the assumptions in force on x is, for $K(x;\epsilon) \geq 1$,

$$m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m = z_* - \frac{1}{2} r_* \left(\mathcal{H}_K + \mathcal{H}_{K-1} \right) + \frac{2r_*(r_* - S)^2(\mathcal{H}_K - 1) + 2r_*(r_* + S)^2(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1) + 4r_*(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \tag{3-116}$$

while if $K(x;\epsilon) = 0$

$$m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m = z_* - \frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_0 - 1) + \frac{2r_*(r_* - S)^2(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}). \tag{3-117}$$

The formula (3-116) may be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m = z_* - \frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_K + \mathcal{H}_{K-1}) &+ \frac{2r_*(r_* - S)^2(\mathcal{H}_K - 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1)} \\ &+ \frac{2r_*(r_* + S)^2(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)}{\Delta^2 + 4r_*(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3-118}$$

Indeed, because the denominators in (3-116) and (3-118) are bounded away from zero due to the assumption that $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta)$, the difference between the explicit terms is proportional to the product $(\mathcal{H}_K - 1)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)$ by uniformly bounded factors, and this product is proportional to m^{-1} (it is really the identity (3-46) in disguise). Analogous calculations starting instead from (3-90) give

$$\begin{aligned} m^{-1/3}\mathcal{Q}_m = -z_* - \frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_K + \mathcal{H}_{K-1}) &+ \frac{2r_*(r_* + S)^2(\mathcal{H}_K - 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_K - 1)} \\ &+ \frac{2r_*(r_* - S)^2(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)}{\Delta^2 + 4r_*(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_{K-1} + 1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3-119}$$

for $K(x;\epsilon) \geq 1$, and

$$m^{-1/3}\mathcal{Q}_m = -z_* - \frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_0 - 1) + \frac{2r_*(r_* + S)^2(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_0 - 1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}) \tag{3-120}$$

for $K(x;\epsilon) = 0$. Here we need to assume that $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta)$ as well as $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{V}}(\delta)$, where

$$\mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{V}}(\delta) := \left\{ y \in \mathcal{S}_0 : \ |y - x| > \delta\epsilon \text{ for all } x \text{ such that } \dot{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = 0 \right\}. \tag{3-121}$$

Once again, the device of the integer-valued function $K(x;\epsilon)$ is artificial, as the following result shows.

Theorem 2 (Asymptotics of $\mathcal{P}_m$ and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ near an edge of T)**.** *Define* $\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = \dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m)$ *by*

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} := z_* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_n + 1) + \frac{2r_*(r_* + S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n + 1)}{\Delta^2 + 4r_*(r_* + S)(\mathcal{H}_n + 1)} \right], \tag{3-122}$$

which can also be written in the form

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = z_* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[-\frac{1}{2} r_* (\mathcal{H}_n - 1) + \frac{2r_*(r_* - S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n - 1)}{\Delta^2 - 4r_*(r_* - S)(\mathcal{H}_n - 1)} \right]. \tag{3-123}$$

Also define $\dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m)$ *by*

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} &:= -z_* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n+1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*-S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n+1)}{\Delta^2+4r_*(r_*-S)(\mathcal{H}_n+1)}\right] \\ &= -z_* + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n-1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*+S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n-1)}{\Delta^2-4r_*(r_*+S)(\mathcal{H}_n-1)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3-124}$$

Here $S = S(x)$, $\Delta = \Delta(x)$, $z_* = z_*(x)$, $r_* = r_*(x)$, *and* $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{H}_n(x;\epsilon) = \mathcal{H}_n(x;(m-\frac{1}{2})^{-1})$ *are defined by* (2-2), (2-4), (2-7), (3-4), *and* (3-100), *respectively. Recall that* $\mathcal{S}_\sigma$, $\mathfrak{d}(x)$, $\mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta)$, $\mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta)$, *and* $\mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{V}}(\delta)$ *are defined by* (1-19), (2-15), (3-75), (3-115), *and* (3-121), *respectively, and that the Painlevé-II functions* $\mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}_m(y)$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}_m = \mathcal{Q}_m(y)$ *are given for positive integer* m *in* (1-6). *Then, uniformly for* $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta) \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta)$ *for fixed* $\sigma > 0$ *and* $\delta > 0$, *and* $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) \geq -Mm^{-1}\log(m)$ *for some fixed* $M > 0$,

$$m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m\left(\left(m-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3}x\right) = \dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \quad m \to +\infty \tag{3-125}$$

while, uniformly for $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta) \cap \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{V}}(\delta)$ *for fixed* $\sigma > 0$ *and* $\delta > 0$, *and* $\mathrm{Re}(\mathfrak{d}(x)) \geq -Mm^{-1}\log(m)$ *for some fixed* $M > 0$,

$$m^{-1/3}\mathcal{Q}_m\left(\left(m-\tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3}x\right) = \dot{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}(x;m) + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}), \quad m \to +\infty. \tag{3-126}$$

Proof. To prove (3-125) we first observe that the exact identity

$$-\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n+1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*+S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n+1)}{\Delta^2+4r_*(r_*+S)(\mathcal{H}_n+1)} = -\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n-1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*-S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n-1)}{\Delta^2-4r_*(r_*-S)(\mathcal{H}_n-1)} \tag{3-127}$$

is established by direct calculation, which in particular shows the equivalence of (3-122) and (3-123). Moreover, we can also use the identity to write $\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = z_* &+ \sum_{n=K_0}^{\infty}\left[-\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n-1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*-S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n-1)}{\Delta^2-4r_*(r_*-S)(\mathcal{H}_n-1)}\right] \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{K_0-1}\left[-\frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_n+1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*+S)^2(\mathcal{H}_n+1)}{\Delta^2+4r_*(r_*+S)(\mathcal{H}_n+1)}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{3-128}$$

By analogous arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1, the condition $K(x;\epsilon) = K_0$ implies the convergence of the infinite sum and that if $K_0 > 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = z_* &- \frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_{K_0}+\mathcal{H}_{K_0-1}) + \frac{2r_*(r_*-S)^2(\mathcal{H}_{K_0}-1)}{\Delta^2-4r_*(r_*-S)(\mathcal{H}_{K_0}-1)} \\ &+ \frac{2r_*(r_*+S)^2(\mathcal{H}_{K_0-1}+1)}{\Delta^2+4r_*(r_*+S)(\mathcal{H}_{K_0-1}+1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}),\end{aligned} \tag{3-129}$$

while if $K_0 = 0$ then

$$\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}} = z_* - \frac{1}{2}r_*(\mathcal{H}_0-1) + \frac{2r_*(r_*-S)^2(\mathcal{H}_0-1)}{\Delta^2-4r_*(r_*-S)(\mathcal{H}_0-1)} + \mathcal{O}(m^{-1}). \tag{3-130}$$

The proof of (3-125) is then complete upon comparison with (3-117) and (3-118). The proof of (3-126) follows exactly the same lines. □

The approximation formula for $\mathcal{P}_m$ is compared with the corresponding exact expression in Figure 12.

◁ **Remark 2.** While such plots as shown in Figures 10–12 further demonstrate the accuracy of the infinite series formulae presented in Theorems 1 and 2, it should be mentioned that plots comparing $m^{-2m/3}e^{-m\mu}\mathcal{U}_m$ with the "piecewise" approximations (3-102)–(3-103), or comparing $m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m$ with the approximations (3-116) (or (3-118)) and (3-117) show poor agreement. The approximating formulae are indeed asymptotically equivalent to the infinite series formulae (this is the content of the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2),



FIGURE 12. The function $m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m$ plotted as a function of $x > 0$ (thick gray curves) compared with $\dot{\mathcal{P}}^{\mathrm{Edge}}$ (thin black curves) for $m = 10$ (left) and $m = 20$ (right). The edge occurs at about $x = 1.445$.

however the constants implicit in the error estimates are evidently much larger for the "piecewise" approximations. It seems that the key mechanism behind the relatively poor accuracy of the latter approximations is that the true locations of the poles and zeros of $\mathcal{U}_m$ are, for moderate values of m, shifted right out of the "K-windows" to the edge of which they asymptotically converge. Unless m is extremely large, the true poles and zeros lie in the incorrect window to be visible under the piecewise approximation. The infinite series formulae appear to circumvent this difficulty by including all of the singularities, even should they not appear in the correct window. ▷

◁ **Remark 3.** The role of the condition $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{U}}(\delta)$ in the proof of validity of the asymptotic formula (3-125) for $\mathcal{P}_m$ and of the condition $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{0,\mathcal{V}}(\delta)$ in the proof of the formula (3-126) for $\mathcal{Q}_m$ is in each case merely to bound the denominator in the approximation away from zero and therefore to allow error terms in the denominators of the exact formulae (3-89)–(3-90) to be expressed as absolute error terms. These conditions may therefore be dropped at the cost of modifying the corresponding asymptotic formulae to maintain error terms in the denominators.

On the other hand, the condition $x \in \mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta)$ plays a much more essential role in the hypotheses of Theorems 1 and 2, because it bounds x away from values at which the error matrix $\mathbf{E}(z)$ cannot be controlled at all. However, even this condition can be dropped at the cost of an argument based on the asymptotic analysis of a different Riemann-Hilbert problem. The main idea is to use the Bäcklund relation $\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{V}_{m+1}^{-1}$. Since according to the arguments in §3.5.3 the pole lattices shift upon incrementing m by a nonzero fraction of the lattice spacing when x is bounded away from the corners of T, the identity

$$(\mathscr{S}_m^{\infty}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{S}_\sigma) \cup (\mathscr{S}_{m+1}^{\infty}(\delta) \cap \mathcal{S}_\sigma) = \mathcal{S}_\sigma \tag{3-131}$$

holds for all m with any $\sigma > 0$ as long as $\delta > 0$ is sufficiently small. Therefore, $\mathcal{U}_m$ can be analyzed for all $x \in \mathcal{S}_\sigma$ by a combination of the results of Theorem 1 applied for degrees m and $m+1$, in the latter case by first approximating $\mathcal{V}_{m+1}$ and then reciprocating to obtain an asymptotic formula for $\mathcal{U}_m$. Similar reasoning applies to all four families of rational Painlevé-II functions.

These arguments are carried out in some detail in a more complicated setting in [7]. The method fails, however, when as x approaches a corner point of T, the pole lattices fail to shift significantly as m is replaced with $m+1$. Near the corners, the pole lattice becomes "frozen" and the Bäcklund transformation cannot be applied to obtain asymptotics for the rational Painlevé-II functions near singularities of the approximation. This approximation will be developed in §4 below. ▷

4. Analysis for x near a corner point of ∂T

In this section, we suppose that x is close to the corner point $x_c < 0$ of ∂T, and we derive asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions in the limit of large m. Our goal is to make the formal rescaling argument given in §1.1 completely rigorous and also to isolate the precise solution of Painlevé-I

that is relevant. We will also obtain corresponding asymptotic formulae for the functions $\mathcal{U}_m$ and $\mathcal{V}_m$. We choose to recycle some symbols used in §3 to represent analogous objects, and we hope that once these are redefined in the present context there will be no confusion for the reader.

4.1. **The Negative-x Configuration Riemann-Hilbert problem.** As x_c lies on the negative real axis, we begin our analysis with the Riemann-Hilbert problem satisfied by $\mathbf{N}(z;x,\epsilon)$ in the Negative-x Configuration as shown in [7, Figure 20]. This contour arrangement is suitable for asymptotic analysis in the genus-zero region as long as $|\arg(-x)| < 2\pi/3$. As illustrated in Figure 6, the local behavior in z of the function $2h(z;x)+\lambda(x)$ (that is of use in the genus-zero region) changes dramatically near one of the band endpoints as $x \to x_c$. To handle this change will require not only a different parametrix around this band endpoint but also the use of a modified g-function with generically different band endpoints we label $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$. Therefore we deform the Riemann-Hilbert problem if necessary so the points of self-intersection a and b are replaced by $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$, respectively (we also redefine $\mathbf{N}$ as the solution to this deformed problem). Then $\mathbf{N}(z;x,\epsilon)$ satisfies the normalization condition

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathbf{N}(z;x,\epsilon)(-z)^{-\sigma_3/\epsilon} = \mathbb{I} \tag{4-1}$$

and the jump condition $\mathbf{N}_+ = \mathbf{N}_-\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{N})}$, where $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{N})}$ and the jump contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{N})}$ are shown in Figure 13.


z
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-\theta/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{\theta/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -ie^{-\theta/\epsilon} \\ -ie^{\theta/\epsilon} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{\theta/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
L
$\begin{bmatrix} -1 & -ie^{-\theta/\epsilon} \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\mathfrak{a}$
Σ
$\mathfrak{b}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-\theta/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{\theta/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

FIGURE 13. *The jump matrices $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{N})}(z;x,\epsilon)$ for the function $\mathbf{N}(z;x,\epsilon)$ with x near x_c.*

4.2. **The modified g-function for x near x_c.** Recall the values a_c and b_c given by (2-16). Suppose $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ are close to a_c and b_c, respectively, and recall the unique function $r(z;\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})$ whose square is the quadratic $(z-\mathfrak{a})(z-\mathfrak{b})$, whose domain of analyticity in z is $\mathbb{C}\setminus\Sigma$, where Σ is the oriented line segment from $z=\mathfrak{a}$ to $z=\mathfrak{b}$, and that satisfies $r(z;\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b}) = z + \mathcal{O}(1)$ as $z\to\infty$. Given complex constants $\mathfrak{m}$ and ν, and a contour L connecting $\mathfrak{b}$ with ∞ without intersecting Σ and agreeing with the positive real axis for large $|z|$, let an analytic function $\mathfrak{g}:\mathbb{C}\setminus(\Sigma\cup L)\to\mathbb{C}$ be defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}(z) := \frac{1}{2}\theta(\mathfrak{a};x) + \frac{1}{2}\nu + \int_{\mathfrak{a}}^{z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\theta'(\zeta;x) - \frac{3}{2}\left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b}) + \frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\zeta-\mathfrak{a}}\right) r(\zeta;\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})\right] d\zeta, \tag{4-2}$$

where the path of integration is arbitrary in the simply-connected domain $\mathbb{C}\setminus(\Sigma\cup L)$, and where θ is defined by (2-10).

This function has the following elementary properties, as are easily verified. The boundary values taken by $\mathfrak{g}$ on the segment Σ satisfy

$$\mathfrak{g}_+(z) + \mathfrak{g}_-(z) = \theta(z;x) + \nu, \quad z\in\Sigma. \tag{4-3}$$

Similarly, taking the contour L to be oriented in the direction away from $\mathfrak{b}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_+(z)-\mathfrak{g}_-(z) &= \frac{3}{2}\oint\left(\zeta+\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b})+\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\zeta-\mathfrak{a}}\right)r(\zeta;\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})\,d\zeta \\ &= -\frac{3\pi i}{8}(\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{b}^2-\mathfrak{a}^2+4\mathfrak{m}), \quad z\in L,\end{aligned} \tag{4-4}$$

where the contour of integration is a positively-oriented loop that encloses Σ, and the second line follows by evaluation of the integral by residues at $\zeta=\infty$. Furthermore, considering the asymptotic behavior of $\mathfrak{g}(z)$ for large z one obtains

$$\mathfrak{g}(z)=\mathfrak{g}_1 z+\mathfrak{g}_\ell\log(-z)+\mathfrak{g}_0+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z\to\infty, \tag{4-5}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{g}_1:=\frac{1}{16}(9\mathfrak{a}^2+6\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}+9\mathfrak{b}^2+8x-24\mathfrak{m}), \tag{4-6}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}_\ell:=\frac{3}{16}(\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{b}^2-\mathfrak{a}^2+4\mathfrak{m}), \tag{4-7}$$

and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is defined in terms of a convergent integral as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_0:=\frac{1}{2}\theta(\mathfrak{a};x)+\frac{1}{2}\nu-\mathfrak{g}_1\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}_\ell\log(-\mathfrak{a}) \\ +\int_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\infty}\left[\frac{1}{2}\theta'(\zeta;x)-\frac{3}{2}\left(\zeta+\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{b})+\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{\zeta-\mathfrak{a}}\right)r(\zeta;\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b})-\mathfrak{g}_1-\frac{\mathfrak{g}_\ell}{\zeta}\right]d\zeta.\end{aligned} \tag{4-8}$$

Lemma 6. *There exist unique functions $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}(x,\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}(x,\mathfrak{a})$, analytic in $(x,\mathfrak{a})$ near (x_c,a_c), for which $\mathfrak{b}(x_c,a_c)=b_c$ and $\mathfrak{m}(x_c,a_c)=0$ hold and such that*

$$\mathfrak{g}_1=0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathfrak{g}_\ell=1 \tag{4-9}$$

both hold as identities in $(x,\mathfrak{a})$ near (x_c,a_c). Also, $\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(x_c,a_c)=\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{a}(x_c,a_c)=0$ and $\mathfrak{b}_x(x_c,a_c)=-6^{-2/3}/2$, while $\mathfrak{m}_x(x_c,a_c)=1/6$.

Proof. From the equation $\mathfrak{g}_1=0$, $\mathfrak{m}$ may be eliminated in favor of x, $\mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathfrak{b}$:

$$\mathfrak{m}=\frac{3}{8}\mathfrak{a}^2+\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}+\frac{3}{8}\mathfrak{b}^2+\frac{1}{3}x. \tag{4-10}$$

Thus, the equation $\mathfrak{g}_\ell=1$ becomes a cubic equation in $\mathfrak{b}$ with coefficients depending analytically on $(x,\mathfrak{a})$:

$$P(\mathfrak{b};x,\mathfrak{a}):=\frac{5}{2}\mathfrak{b}^3-\frac{3}{2}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}^2+\left(\frac{4}{3}x-\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{a}^2\right)\mathfrak{b}-\left(\frac{16}{3}+\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{a}^3+\frac{4}{3}x\mathfrak{a}\right)=0. \tag{4-11}$$

It is a direct calculation to confirm that $P(b_c;x_c,a_c)=0$. Moreover,

$$P_\mathfrak{b}(b_c;x_c,a_c)=\frac{15}{2}b_c^2-3a_cb_c+\frac{4}{3}x_c-\frac{1}{2}a_c^2=32\left(\frac{2}{9}\right)^{1/3}\neq 0, \tag{4-12}$$

from which the Implicit Function Theorem yields the desired function $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}(x,\mathfrak{a})$. Then, from (4-10) one obtains

$$\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}(x,\mathfrak{a})=\frac{3}{8}\mathfrak{a}^2+\frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}(x,\mathfrak{a})+\frac{3}{8}\mathfrak{b}(x,\mathfrak{a})^2+\frac{1}{3}x, \tag{4-13}$$

and by direct calculation using $\mathfrak{b}(x_c,a_c)=b_c$ it then follows that $\mathfrak{m}(x_c,a_c)=0$. Finally, the claimed values of the derivatives follow from the formulae $\mathfrak{b}_\mathfrak{a}(x_c,a_c)=-P_\mathfrak{a}(b_c;x_c,a_c)/P_\mathfrak{b}(b_c;x_c,a_c)$ and $\mathfrak{b}_x(x_c,a_c)=-P_x(b_c;x_c,a_c)/P_\mathfrak{b}(b_c;x_c,a_c)$ and from the chain rule applied to (4-13). □

Taking $\mathfrak{b}=\mathfrak{b}(x,\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}(x,\mathfrak{a})$ as in Lemma 6, and further uniquely choosing ν as a function of $(x,\mathfrak{a})$ so that $\mathfrak{g}_0=0$, we obtain a function $\mathfrak{g}(z)=\mathfrak{g}(z;x,\mathfrak{a})$ satisfying (4-3), the jump condition

$$\mathfrak{g}_+(z;x,\mathfrak{a})-\mathfrak{g}_-(z;x,\mathfrak{a})=-2\pi i, \quad z\in L \tag{4-14}$$

(which follows from (4-4) upon using $\mathfrak{g}_\ell=1$), and the asymptotic condition

$$\mathfrak{g}(z;x,\mathfrak{a})=\log(-z)+\mathcal{O}(z^{-1}), \quad z\to\infty. \tag{4-15}$$

Associated with $\mathfrak{g}$ is the function $\mathfrak{h}$ defined as

$$\mathfrak{h}(z) = \mathfrak{h}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}) := \frac{1}{2}\theta(z; x) - \mathfrak{g}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}). \tag{4-16}$$

It follows from (4-16) and (4-2) that

$$\mathfrak{h}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu(x, \mathfrak{a}) = \frac{3}{2}\int_{\mathfrak{a}}^{z} \left(\zeta + \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})) + \frac{\mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a})}{\zeta - \mathfrak{a}}\right) r(\zeta; \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}))\, d\zeta. \tag{4-17}$$

It is also easy to check that $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{h}$ are continuous with respect to $(x, \mathfrak{a})$ in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets in the z-plane that are disjoint from $\Sigma \cup L$, and that

$$\mathfrak{g}(z; x_c, a_c) = g(z; x_c), \quad \nu(x_c, a_c) = \lambda(x_c), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}(z; x_c, a_c) = h(z; x_c), \tag{4-18}$$

that is, the new g-function $\mathfrak{g}$ coincides with the old g-function g (the one described in §2.1 used to study the rational Painlevé-II functions for x outside of T as well as for x near a smooth point of ∂T) in the limit $(x, \mathfrak{a}) \to (x_c, a_c)$.

At this point $\mathfrak{g}(z; x, \mathfrak{a})$ and $\nu(x, \mathfrak{a})$ are completely specified assuming $\mathfrak{a}$ is given. In Lemma 7 below we will determine $\mathfrak{a}$ as a function of x (therefore giving $\mathfrak{g}$ as a function of z and x and ν as a function of x). For now, we make the change of variables

$$\mathbf{O}(z) := e^{-\nu(x,\mathfrak{a})\sigma_3/(2\epsilon)}\mathbf{N}(z; x, \epsilon)e^{-\mathfrak{g}(z;x,\mathfrak{a})\sigma_3/\epsilon}e^{\nu(x,\mathfrak{a})\sigma_3/(2\epsilon)}. \tag{4-19}$$

Now

$$\lim_{z\to\infty} \mathbf{O}(z; x, \epsilon) = \mathbb{I}, \tag{4-20}$$

and the domain of analyticity is the same for both $\mathbf{O}(z)$ and $\mathbf{N}(z)$. The jump conditions satisfied by $\mathbf{O}(z)$ are illustrated in Figure 14. Note that we take the angles between contours meeting at $z = \mathfrak{a}$ and $z = \mathfrak{b}$ to be locally as indicated in the figure (we do not directly specify the angles involving the segment Σ). It follows


z
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-(2\mathfrak{h}+\nu)/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{(2\mathfrak{h}+\nu)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{(2\mathfrak{h}+\nu)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\frac{2}{5}\pi$
$\mathfrak{a}$
$\mathfrak{b}$
$\frac{2}{3}\pi$
L
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-(2\mathfrak{h}_+ + \nu)/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\frac{2}{5}\pi$
Σ
$\frac{2}{3}\pi$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{-(2\mathfrak{h}+\nu)/\epsilon} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{(2\mathfrak{h}+\nu)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

FIGURE 14. The jump matrices for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ for x near x_c.

from (4-18), continuity of $2\mathfrak{h}(z) + \nu$, and the results of [7, Section 3] that for x near x_c and $\mathfrak{a}$ near a_c, all triangular jump matrices for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ converge to the identity matrix as $\epsilon \to 0$ as long as fixed neighborhoods of the limiting endpoints (a_c, b_c) of Σ are excluded. Moreover, the convergence is exponentially fast and uniform outside of the aforementioned neighborhoods. This suggests that to approximate $\mathbf{O}(z)$ accurately, it will be necessary only to deal with the constant jump across Σ as well as fixed-size neighborhoods of a_c and b_c.

4.3. **The outer parametrix.** To deal with the jump of $\mathbf{O}$ across the segment Σ we simply adapt the solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 2 with $K = 0$ to the present situation. Replacing $a(x)$ with $\mathfrak{a}$ and $b(x)$ with $\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})$, we use (3-6) to define a function $\beta(z; x, \mathfrak{a})$ analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, and then by the formula (3-9) we obtain the matrix function $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$.

This outer parametrix has the following properties. Firstly, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$. Secondly, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z) - \mathbb{I}$ has a convergent Laurent expansion for sufficiently large $|z|$. Thirdly, for $z \in \Sigma$, the boundary values are related by $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_+^{(\mathrm{out})}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}_-^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)(-i\sigma_1)$. Fourthly, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ is independent of ϵ and is uniformly bounded for z bounded away from a_c and b_c (assuming that $x - x_c$ and $\mathfrak{a} - a_c$ are sufficiently small). Further properties of $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ concerning its behavior near the points $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})$ will be developed below in the discussion of inner parametrices.

4.4. **The inner (Airy) parametrix near $z = b_c$.** We closely follow [7, Section 3.6.2] using the "Negative-x Configuration". Let $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ denote a disk of sufficiently small radius independent of ϵ that contains the point $z = \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})$ as long as $x - x_c$ and $\mathfrak{a} - a_c$ are sufficiently small. Because $2\mathfrak{h}(z) + \nu$ vanishes at $z = \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})$ like $(z - \mathfrak{b})^{3/2}$, making the substitutions of $\mathfrak{a}$ for $a(x)$, $\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})$ for $b(x)$, $\mathfrak{h}$ for h, and ν for λ, the construction of [7, Section 3.6.2] yields a matrix denoted $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{b})}(z)$ that satisfies exactly the same jump conditions as does $\mathbf{O}(z)$ within the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, and that satisfies the uniform estimate

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{b})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon), \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}. \tag{4-21}$$

No further details will be required for our analysis, as the dominant source of error terms will come from a neighborhood of the other endpoint $z = \mathfrak{a}$. Approximation of $\mathbf{O}(z)$ near this point is the topic we take up next.

4.5. **The inner (Painlevé-I tritronquée) parametrix near $z = a_c$.**

4.5.1. *Conformal coordinate near $z = a_c$.* Let q denote the principal branch of the square root $(\mathfrak{a} - z)^{1/2}$. The function $\mathfrak{h}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu(x, \mathfrak{a})$ can be written as an odd analytic function of q using (4-17):

$$\mathfrak{h}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu(x, \mathfrak{a}) = f(q; x, \mathfrak{a}) := -3\int_0^q \left(\mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \frac{1}{2}(3\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}))w^2 + w^4\right)(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a} + w^2)^{1/2}\, dw. \tag{4-22}$$

The integrand is analytic and even near $w = 0$ and this implies the claimed behavior of f. The first several generally nonzero Taylor coefficients of f are:

$$\begin{aligned}
f'(0; x, \mathfrak{a}) &= -3\mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a})(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^{1/2}, \\
\frac{1}{3!}f'''(0; x, \mathfrak{a}) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^{-1/2}\left[(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})(3\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})) - \mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a})\right], \\
\frac{1}{5!}f^{(5)}(0; x, \mathfrak{a}) &= \frac{3}{40}(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^{-3/2}\left[\mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a}) + 2(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})(3\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})) - 8(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^2\right], \\
\frac{1}{7!}f^{(7)}(0; x, \mathfrak{a}) &= -\frac{3}{112}(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^{-5/2}\left[8(\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})^2 + (\mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}) - \mathfrak{a})(3\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a})) + \mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a})\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4-23}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}(x_c, a_c) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{b}(x_c, a_c) = b_c = -3a_c$, we see that

$$f'(0; x_c, a_c) = 0, \quad \frac{1}{3!}f'''(0; x_c, a_c) = 0, \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{1}{5!}f^{(5)}(0; x_c, a_c) = -\frac{6^{5/6}}{5} < 0. \tag{4-24}$$

Therefore, while f generally vanishes linearly at $q = 0$, when $x = x_c$ and $\mathfrak{a} = a_c$ it vanishes there to higher (quintic) order. For future reference we also record here the value

$$\frac{1}{7!}f^{(7)}(0; x_c, a_c) = -\frac{3^{7/6}}{2^{11/6}7}. \tag{4-25}$$

From (4-23) and recalling Lemma 6, we also see that

$$f'_{\mathfrak{a}}(0; x_c, a_c) = 0 \quad \text{but} \quad \frac{1}{3!}f'''_{\mathfrak{a}}(0; x_c, a_c) = \left(\frac{243}{2}\right)^{1/6} \neq 0 \tag{4-26}$$

and

$$f'_x(0; x_c, a_c) = -6^{-1/6}. \tag{4-27}$$

We wish to introduce a conformal map $z \mapsto W$ defined in a disk in the z-plane containing the point $z = a_c$ such that $\mathfrak{h} + \frac{1}{2}\nu$ takes a simple form in terms of W. Precisely, we will show that W can be found so that

$$\mathfrak{h}(z; x, \mathfrak{a}) + \frac{1}{2}\nu(x, \mathfrak{a}) = -\frac{4}{5}(-W)^{5/2} - s(-W)^{1/2}, \tag{4-28}$$

where on the right-hand side the power functions denote principal branches defined for $|\arg(-W)| < \pi$. We wish for this equation to hold as an identity along each of the jump contours for $\mathbf{O}$ near $z = \mathfrak{a}$ for which the corresponding jump matrix depends on z.

The complex parameter s is intended to allow the degeneration of the model function on the right-hand side of (4-28) from a generic square-root vanishing to a $\frac{5}{2}$-power vanishing as occurs on the left-hand side as $(x, \mathfrak{a}) \to (x_c, a_c)$. Therefore, we should expect that s will need to depend on $(x, \mathfrak{a})$ in order to guarantee the existence of the conformal map $z \mapsto W$. In fact, $\mathfrak{a}$ will also need to depend on x, as the following result indicates.

Lemma 7. *There exist analytic functions $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(x)$ and $s = s(x)$, well-defined in a neighborhood of $x = x_c$ and satisfying $\mathfrak{a}(x_c) = a_c$ and $s(x_c) = 0$, such that a conformal map $z \mapsto W$ exists in a neighborhood of $z = a_c$ for $x - x_c$ sufficiently small that guarantees that* (4-28) *holds on the three jump contours for $\mathbf{O}$ for which the jump matrix depends on z. The conformal map takes $z = \mathfrak{a}$ to $W = 0$. Denoting by W_c the mapping W in the special case that $x = x_c$, we have $W_c'(a_c) = 3^{1/3}/2^{7/15} > 0$. Also, $s'(x_c) = 2^{1/5}/3^{1/3} > 0$, so that locally the map $x \mapsto s$ is a dilation of a neighborhood of x_c.*

The proof of Lemma 7 is given in §A.4. From now on, we consider $\mathfrak{a}$ not as a fixed parameter, but rather as depending on x near x_c according to Lemma 7. Similarly, $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{b}(x) := \mathfrak{b}(x, \mathfrak{a}(x))$, $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}(x) := \mathfrak{m}(x, \mathfrak{a}(x))$, and $s = s(x)$ with $s(x_c) = 0$ and $s'(x_c) > 0$. In this situation, $\mathfrak{h}$ becomes a function of z parametrized by x near x_c, and the constant ν becomes a function of x alone satisfying

$$\nu(x_c) = \frac{1}{3} + 2\log(-a_c) = \frac{1}{3} - \log(6^{2/3}). \tag{4-29}$$

We may therefore consider the partial derivative of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to x, denoted $\mathfrak{h}_x(z) = \mathfrak{h}_x(z; x)$. This function is analytic in z for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$ and satisfies the asymptotic condition $\mathfrak{h}_x(z) = \frac{1}{2}\theta_x(z) - \mathfrak{g}_x(z) = \frac{1}{2}z + \mathcal{O}(z^{-1})$ as $z \to \infty$. On the cut Σ, the relation $\mathfrak{h}_{x+}(z; x) + \mathfrak{h}_{x-}(z; x) = -\nu'(x)$ holds. Finally, $\mathfrak{h}_x$ is bounded at the endpoints of Σ. It is not difficult to see that these conditions imply that $\mathfrak{h}_x(z; x)$ necessarily has the form

$$\mathfrak{h}_x(z; x) = \frac{1}{2}r(z; \mathfrak{a}(x), \mathfrak{b}(x)) - \frac{1}{2}\nu'(x), \tag{4-30}$$

and then by imposing the normalization condition for large z one finds that

$$\nu'(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathfrak{a}(x) + \mathfrak{b}(x)), \quad \text{and in particular} \quad \nu'(x_c) = -6^{-1/3}. \tag{4-31}$$

4.5.2. *Tritronquée parametrix.* Consider the rescalings

$$\xi := \epsilon^{-2/5}W \quad \text{and} \quad t := \epsilon^{-4/5}s. \tag{4-32}$$

Let $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ be a disk of small fixed radius containing the point $z = \mathfrak{a}$ (which is close to $z = a_c$ for x near x_c). Under the conformal mapping $z \mapsto W$ and the above rescaling of W to obtain ξ, the disk $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is mapped to a disk of large radius proportional to $\epsilon^{-2/5}$ centered at the origin in the ξ-plane. Choosing the contours of the original problem near $z = \mathfrak{a}$ so that their images in the ξ-plane are straight rays from the origin, the exact jump conditions for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ may be represented in the ξ-plane in terms of the function $\phi(\xi)$ alone, where

$$\phi(\xi) = \phi(\xi; t) := \frac{4}{5}(-\xi)^{5/2} + t(-\xi)^{1/2}. \tag{4-33}$$

The exact jump conditions for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ near $z = \mathfrak{a}$ are illustrated in the ξ-plane in Figure 15.

We wish to find a certain exact solution of these jump conditions designed to match well onto the outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ at the boundary of the disk. To do this, we first observe that for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the outer parametrix can be expressed in terms of ξ as

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z) = \mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\epsilon^{\sigma_3/10}(-\xi)^{\sigma_3/4}\mathbf{M}^{-1}. \tag{4-34}$$


ξ
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{2\phi(\xi)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\frac{2}{5}\pi$
0
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{-2\phi(\xi)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\frac{2}{5}\pi$
$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{2\phi(\xi)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

FIGURE 15. The jump matrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ rendered in the ξ-plane.

Here $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}$ is defined in (3-9), and $\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)$ is a holomorphic matrix-valued function for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ that is independent of ϵ and satisfies $\det(\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)) = 1$. (An explicit formula for $\mathbf{F}^{\mathfrak{a}}(z)$ in terms of the conformal mapping W of Lemma 7 can be obtained directly from (4-34) with $\xi = \epsilon^{-2/5}W$.) To match onto the outer parametrix therefore means that we will seek a solution of the following parametrix Riemann-Hilbert problem.

Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5 (Tritronquée parametrix)**.** *Seek a 2×2 matrix-valued function $\mathbf{T}(\xi) = \mathbf{T}(\xi; t)$ with the following properties:*

Analyticity: *$\mathbf{T}(\xi; t)$ is analytic in the four sectors $0 < \arg(-\xi) < 2\pi/5$, $-2\pi/5 < \arg(-\xi) < 0$, $2\pi/5 < \arg(-\xi) < \pi$, and $-\pi < \arg(-\xi) < -2\pi/5$, and is Hölder continuous in each sector up to the boundary.*

Jump condition: *The boundary values taken along the rays common to the boundary of adjacent sectors (taken with outward orientation) are related as follows:*

$$\mathbf{T}_+(\xi; t) = \mathbf{T}_-(\xi; t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \arg(\xi) = 0, \tag{4-35}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_+(\xi; t) = \mathbf{T}_-(\xi; t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ ie^{-2\phi(\xi;t)} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \arg(-\xi) = 0, \tag{4-36}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_+(\xi; t) = \mathbf{T}_-(\xi; t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & ie^{2\phi(\xi;t)} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \arg(-\xi) = \pm\frac{2\pi}{5}, \tag{4-37}$$

where ϕ is defined by (4-33).

Normalization: *The matrix $\mathbf{T}(\xi; t)$ satisfies the condition*

$$\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \mathbf{T}(\xi; t)\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4} = \mathbb{I}, \tag{4-38}$$

with the limit being uniform with respect to direction.

From the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem (if it exists, given $t \in \mathbb{C}$), we obtain a local parametrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ expected to be valid for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{O}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z) := \mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\epsilon^{\sigma_3/10}\mathbf{T}(\epsilon^{-2/5}W(z; x); \epsilon^{-4/5}s(x)), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}. \tag{4-39}$$

The matrix $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ can be further characterized with the help of Fredholm theory applied to Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5. To apply Fredholm theory, we first introduce a related matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ defined in terms of $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ as follows:

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t) := \begin{cases} \mathbf{T}(\xi;t), & |\xi| < 1, \\ \mathbf{T}(\xi;t)\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4}, & |\xi| > 1. \end{cases} \tag{4-40}$$

The matrix $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ satisfies the conditions of a similar Riemann-Hilbert problem with the differences being (i) there is an additional jump discontinuity of $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ across the unit circle (oriented clockwise) with jump matrix $\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4}$, (ii) $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ has no jump across the positive real axis for $\xi > 1$, (iii) on the remaining three rays of the jump contour for $\mathbf{T}$ the jump matrix for $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ for $|\xi| > 1$ is the jump matrix for $\mathbf{T}$ conjugated by $\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4}$, and (iv) the normalization condition becomes $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $\xi \to \infty$. It is by now a standard construction (see Muskehelishvili [21] and Deift [12] for general theory, and see [17, Appendix A] for specific information about the Hölder spaces most useful in the present application) to associate an identity-normalized Riemann-Hilbert problem such as that satisfied by $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ with an inhomogeneous linear system of singular integral equations (formulated on a suitable function space of Hölder-continuous matrix-valued functions on the jump contour) for which the linear operator acting on the unknown is Fredholm with zero index. The fact that the parameter t appears analytically in this operator immediately implies that the solution may fail to exist only at isolated points in the t-plane, and these singularities are poles of finite order. The representation of $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ made available via this approach combined with the fact that the jump matrices for $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ decay to $\mathbb{I}$ as $\xi \to \infty$ along each ray faster than any negative power of $|\xi|$ shows that in fact $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4} = \widetilde{\mathbf{T}}(\xi;t)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $\xi \to \infty$ in descending integer powers of ξ; there exists a sequence of matrix functions $\{\mathbf{T}_p(t)\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ such that, for any integer $P \geq 0$,

$$\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4} = \mathbb{I} + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{T}_p(t)\xi^{-p} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^{-(P+1)}), \quad \xi \to \infty. \tag{4-41}$$

The coefficient matrices $\mathbf{T}_p(t)$ are analytic in t except at the singularities of the solution where they have at worst poles of finite order. The error term is uniform for t in any compact set that does not contain any of these singularities. It also follows from the representation of the solution that the asymptotic series (4-41) is differentiable term-by-term with respect to both ξ and (away from singularities) t.

Two additional observations regarding Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5 are the following. Firstly, since the jump matrices all have unit determinant, then so does $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ when it exists, and this further implies that $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)^{-1}$ has the same singularities as does $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ itself. The condition $\det(\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)) = 1$ applied to the series (4-41) also implies that

$$\operatorname{tr}(\mathbf{T}_1(t)) = 0. \tag{4-42}$$

Secondly, if $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ is a solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5, then so is $\mathbf{T}(\xi^*;t^*)^*$, so the index-zero condition implies that whenever t is such that $\mathbf{T}$ exists, then so is t^*, and $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t) = \mathbf{T}(\xi^*;t^*)^*$. This identity further implies that all of the coefficients in the expansion (4-41) satisfy $\mathbf{T}_p(t) = \mathbf{T}_p(t^*)^*$, i.e., the matrix entries are all Schwarz-symmetric meromorphic functions of t.

The elements of the coefficient matrices $\mathbf{T}_p(t)$ satisfy a number of ordinary differential equations. Indeed, observe that the matrix $\mathbf{L}(\xi;t) := \mathbf{T}(\xi;t)e^{\phi(\xi;t)\sigma_3}$ satisfies jump conditions that are independent of both ξ and t (the transformation has the effect of replacing the exponential factors $e^{\pm 2\phi(\xi;t)}$ in (4-36)–(4-37) with 1). This implies that the matrices

$$\mathbf{A}(\xi;t) := \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial \xi}(\xi;t)\mathbf{L}(\xi;t)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{U}(\xi;t) := \frac{\partial \mathbf{L}}{\partial t}(\xi;t)\mathbf{L}(\xi;t)^{-1} \tag{4-43}$$

are both entire functions of ξ. We may compute their asymptotic expansions as $\xi \to \infty$ with the help of (4-41). These are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{U}(\xi;t) &= -\xi\sigma_+ + \sigma_- - [\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+] + \mathcal{O}(\xi^{-1}),\\ \mathbf{A}(\xi;t) &= -2\xi^2\sigma_+ + 2\xi\left(\sigma_- - [\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+]\right) + 2[\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+]\mathbf{T}_1(t) + 2[\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_-]\\ &\quad - 2[\mathbf{T}_2(t),\sigma_+] - \frac{1}{2}t\sigma_+ + \xi^{-1}\mathbf{A}_1(t) + \xi^{-2}\mathbf{A}_2(t) + \mathcal{O}(\xi^{-3}),\end{aligned} \tag{4-44}$$

where $[\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B}] := \mathbf{AB} - \mathbf{BA}$, and with the help of (4-42) we have

$$A_{1,21}(t) = \frac{1}{2}t + 2T_{1,11}(t)^2 - 2\det(\mathbf{T}_1(t)) + 2(T_{2,22}(t) - T_{2,11}(t)) + 4T_{1,21}(t)T_{2,21}(t). \tag{4-45}$$

But since $\mathbf{U}(\xi;t)$ and $\mathbf{A}(\xi;t)$ are entire in ξ, they are equal to the polynomial terms in the expansions

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{U}(\xi;t) &= -\xi\sigma_+ + \sigma_- - [\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+],\\ \mathbf{A}(\xi;t) &= -2\xi^2\sigma_+ + 2\xi\left(\sigma_- - [\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+]\right) + 2[\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_+]\mathbf{T}_1(t) + 2[\mathbf{T}_1(t),\sigma_-] - 2[\mathbf{T}_2(t),\sigma_+] - \frac{1}{2}t\sigma_+,\end{aligned} \tag{4-46}$$

and we further establish the identity $A_{1,21}(t) = 0$. According to the definitions (4-43), $\mathbf{L}(\xi;t)$ is a simultaneous fundamental solution matrix of the Lax pair of differential equations $\mathbf{L}_\xi = \mathbf{AL}$ and $\mathbf{L}_t = \mathbf{UL}$. This overdetermined system is therefore compatible, meaning that the coefficient matrices $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{U}$ satisfy the zero-curvature condition $\mathbf{U}_\xi - \mathbf{A}_t + [\mathbf{U},\mathbf{A}] = \mathbf{0}$. With the help of (4-42) and $A_{1,21}(t) = 0$ with $A_{1,21}(t)$ given by (4-45), the matrix elements of $\mathbf{U}(\xi;t)$ and $\mathbf{A}(\xi;t)$ can be written in terms of just three unknown functions of t:

$$\begin{aligned}H &= H(t) := T_{1,21}(t),\\ Y &= Y(t) := T_{1,21}(t)^2 + T_{1,22}(t) - T_{1,11}(t),\\ Z &= Z(t) := 2T_{2,21}(t) + 2T_{1,12}(t) - 2T_{1,21}(t)^3 - 4T_{1,21}(t)T_{1,22}(t) + 2T_{1,21}(t)T_{1,11}(t),\end{aligned} \tag{4-47}$$

namely

$$\mathbf{U}(\xi;t) = \begin{bmatrix} H & Y - H^2 - \xi \\ 1 & -H \end{bmatrix} \tag{4-48}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}(\xi;t) = \begin{bmatrix} 2\xi H + Z + 2HY & -2\xi^2 + 2\xi(Y - H^2) - t - 2Y^2 - 2H^2Y - 2HZ \\ 2\xi + 2Y & -2\xi H - Z - 2HY \end{bmatrix}. \tag{4-49}$$

Upon separating the coefficients of different powers of ξ, the zero-curvature condition then yields the following three differential equations (and no further relations):

$$\begin{aligned}H'(t) &= -Y(t),\\ Y'(t) &= Z(t),\\ Z'(t) &= 6Y(t)^2 + t.\end{aligned} \tag{4-50}$$

Elimination of $Z(t)$ shows that $Y(t)$ solves the Painlevé-I equation

$$Y''(t) = 6Y(t)^2 + t. \tag{4-51}$$

Now, setting to zero the other elements of the matrix coefficient $\mathbf{A}_1(t)$ allows $T_{3,21}(t)$ and the difference $T_{3,22}(t) - T_{3,11}(t)$ to be explicitly expressed in terms of elements of the matrices $\mathbf{T}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{T}_2(t)$ (only two further relations appear from the equation $\mathbf{A}_1(t) = \mathbf{0}$ because $\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}_1(t)) = 0$). Using these identities along with $A_{1,21}(t) = 0$, (4-42), and the definitions (4-47), the equation $A_{2,21}(t) = 0$ yields the additional identity

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{2}Z(t)^2 - 2Y(t)^3 - tY(t), \tag{4-52}$$

which is easily checked to be consistent with (4-50). Therefore, H is the Hamiltonian function associated with the solution Y of the Painlevé-I equation.

The large-t asymptotic behavior of the general solution of the Painlevé-I equation was studied by Kapaev [19] by means of the Deift-Zhou steepest descent method applied to a Riemann-Hilbert problem that is equivalent to a generalization of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5 to allow two independent Stokes constants

(this also requires including two additional jump rays with angles $\arg(-\xi) = \pm 4\pi/5$). Kapaev proves that in the special case of the Stokes constants in which his problem corresponds with Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5, the function Y ($Y = y_3 = y_{-2}$ in Kapaev's notation) has the asymptotic behavior

$$Y(t) = -\left(-\frac{t}{6}\right)^{1/2} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-2}), \quad t \to \infty, \quad |\arg(-t)| \le \frac{4}{5}\pi - \delta \tag{4-53}$$

for any $\delta > 0$ however small. The validity of this asymptotic formula in such a large sector of the t-plane is sufficient to uniquely identify the solution $Y(t)$ of the Painlevé-I equation [19, Remark 2.3]. It is called the (real) tritronquée solution. More generally, there is a one-parameter family of Schwarz-symmetric "tronquée" solutions of $Y''(t) = 2 = 6Y(t)^2 + t$ having the same asymptotic description (4-53) but with t restricted to the smaller sector $|\arg(-t)| \le \pi/5 - \delta$. In the remaining sectors of the complex t-plane there are (double) poles accumulating at $t = \infty$; the general solution of the Painlevé-I equation has poles near $t = \infty$ in all directions. In the limit of large $|t|$ in any sector in which the solution is not asymptotically pole-free, the solution is asymptotically described by a Weierstraß elliptic function with modulus depending on $\arg(t)$.

Dubrovin, Grava, and Klein [13] conjectured that $Y(t)$ is analytic for $|\arg(-t)| < 4\pi/5$, i.e., that the pole-free nature of $Y(t)$ that holds for large $|t|$ due to (4-53) actually extends to all $|t|$ in the indicated sector. This conjecture has recently been proven by Costin, Huang, and Tanveer [11]. The poles of Y are obviously values of t for which the solution of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5 is itself singular (fails to exist). Conversely, if $t \in \mathbb{C}$ is a value at which $Y(\cdot)$ is analytic, then it follows from the differential equations (4-50) that the same is true for $H(\cdot)$ and $Z(\cdot)$, and hence the matrix $\mathbf{A}(\xi;t)$ given by (4-49) also exists as a quadratic polynomial in ξ. Therefore, at such a value of t there exist canonical solutions $\mathbf{L}(\xi;t)$ of the linear system $\mathbf{L}_\xi = \mathbf{AL}$, and it follows that the matrix $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ satisfying the conditions of Riemann-Hilbert Problem 5 also exists. In other words, the singularities of $\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)$ are precisely the poles of the tritronquée solution $Y(t)$.

It is well-known that every pole of every solution $Y(t)$ of the Painlevé-I equation $Y''(t) = 6Y(t)^2 + t$ is a double pole. Indeed, if t_0 is a pole of $Y(t)$, then by matching the (necessarily) dominant terms $Y''(t)$ and $-6Y(t)^2$ one easily obtains this result. By continuing the argument to higher order one sees that, at any pole t_0, Y has a Laurent expansion of the form

$$Y(t) = \frac{1}{(t-t_0)^2} - \frac{t_0}{10}(t-t_0)^2 - \frac{1}{6}(t-t_0)^3 + \mathcal{O}((t-t_0)^4), \quad t \to t_0. \tag{4-54}$$

Substituting this expansion into (4-52) shows that the associated Hamiltonian has the expansion

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{t-t_0} + \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{4-55}$$

i.e., the Hamiltonian necessarily has simple poles, all of residue 1.

Fix a compact set $\mathcal{K}$ in the t-plane that contains no poles of the tritronquée solution $Y(t)$. Uniformly for $t \in \mathcal{K}$ (i.e., for $s(x) \in \epsilon^{4/5}\mathcal{K}$), we then have

$$\mathbf{T}(\xi;t)\mathbf{M}(-\xi)^{-\sigma_3/4} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^{-1}), \quad \xi \to \infty. \tag{4-56}$$

Then, since $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{a}$ implies that ξ is proportional to $\epsilon^{-2/5}$, the definition (4-39) implies that (since $\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)$ is independent of ϵ and has unit determinant)

(4-57)

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)^{-1} = \mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\epsilon^{\sigma_3/10}\left(\mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/5})\right)\epsilon^{-\sigma_3/10}\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)^{-1} = \mathbb{I} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/5}), \quad \epsilon \to 0, \quad z \in \partial\mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{a}.$$

This estimate also holds uniformly for $t \in \mathcal{K}$.

4.6. **The global parametrix and computation of error terms.** The global parametrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ is defined as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) := \begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{b})}(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{b}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z), & z \in \mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{a}, \\ \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{a} \cup \mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{b}}. \end{cases} \tag{4-58}$$

The error in approximating $\mathbf{O}(z)$ by its global parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$ is quantified by introducing the error $\mathbf{E}(z) := \mathbf{O}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)^{-1}$. This matrix is analytic for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$, where the jump contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ consists of (i) all arcs of the jump contour for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ outside of the disks $\mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathbb{D}_\mathfrak{b}$ with the exception of the segment Σ (because the

outer parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)$ and $\mathbf{O}(z)$ satisfy the same jump condition on Σ) and (ii) the two circles $\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, both of which we take to be oriented in the clockwise (negative) direction. Note that $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}\cap(\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}\cup\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}})=\emptyset$ because the inner parametrices exactly satisfy the jump conditions of $\mathbf{O}(z)$ within these disks. We assume that the arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ that coincide with arcs of the jump contour for $\mathbf{O}(z)$ also inherit their orientation, and note further that the contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ will be taken to be independent of x near x_c. Note also that $\mathbf{E}(z)\to\mathbb{I}$ as $z\to\infty$ as this holds for both $\mathbf{O}(z)$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)$.

Lemma 8. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a compact subset of the complex t-plane that does not contain any poles of the tritronquée solution $Y(t)$ and suppose that $t=\epsilon^{4/5}s(x)\in\mathcal{K}$. The error $\mathbf{E}(z)$ satisfies the conditions of a Riemann-Hilbert problem of small-norm type in the limit $\epsilon\to 0$ with jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)$ satisfying the conditions $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}-\mathbb{I}\in L^2(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})\cap L^\infty(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})$ and the estimates $\|\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}-\mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})}=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/5})$ and $\|z^2(\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}-\mathbb{I})\|_{L^1(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})}=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/5})$, both holding uniformly for $t\in\mathcal{K}$.*

Proof. It suffices to analyze the jump matrix $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)$ defined on $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$. On the arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ outside of the two disks $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, we have

$$\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)=\mathbf{E}_-(z)^{-1}\mathbf{E}_+(z)=\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)^{-1}, \tag{4-59}$$

where $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)$ denotes the jump matrix for $\mathbf{O}(z)$. But since s is small, and hence $2\mathfrak{h}+\nu$ is close to $2h+\lambda$ outside of the two disks, $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{O})}(z)-\mathbb{I}$ is exponentially small in the limit $\epsilon\to 0$ and rapidly decaying as $z\to\infty$. Since $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)$ and its inverse are both independent of ϵ and bounded outside of the disks, it follows that $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}-\mathbb{I}$ is exponentially small in ϵ and rapidly decaying as $z\to\infty$ on all arcs of $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ outside of the two disks.

Next consider $z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. Given the clockwise orientation, the jump across this circle is $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)=\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{b})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)^{-1}$ which is uniformly $\mathbb{I}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ according to (4-21). Finally, consider $z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. Here a similar argument using instead (4-57) shows that $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)-\mathbb{I}=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{1/5})$, this being the dominant contribution to $\|\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}-\mathbb{I}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})})}$. □

This result is related to a rather well-developed theory of small-norm Riemann-Hilbert problems, some useful elements of which are described in [7, Appendix B]. Lemma 8 has several consequences. One of them is the existence of an asymptotic (for large z) representation of the form

$$\mathbf{E}(z)=\mathbb{I}+z^{-1}\mathbf{E}_1+z^{-2}\mathbf{E}_2+o(z^{-1}),\quad z\to\infty. \tag{4-60}$$

Here the coefficients $\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_2$ will depend on both x and ϵ. Further consequences include asymptotic (for small ϵ) formulae for the moments $\mathbf{E}_1$ and $\mathbf{E}_2$: for $p=1,2$,

$$\mathbf{E}_p=-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}}(\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)-\mathbb{I})z^{p-1}\,dz-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}}\mathcal{C}_-^{\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}}[\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(\cdot)-\mathbb{I}](z)(\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)-\mathbb{I})z^{p-1}\,dz+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}). \tag{4-61}$$

Here we use the Cauchy projection operator that, given an admissible (as defined in [7, Appendix B]) oriented contour γ, is defined as

$$\mathcal{C}_-^{\gamma}[f](\zeta):=\lim_{\substack{z\to\zeta\\ z\text{ on the right of }\zeta}}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\gamma\frac{f(w)dw}{w-z},\quad z\in\mathbb{C}\backslash\gamma. \tag{4-62}$$

Now, as part of the proof of Lemma 8 it was shown that $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)-\mathbb{I}$ is dominated by its behavior for $z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, with all other contributions being $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$. Therefore, without any loss of the order of accuracy, the integration contour $\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}$ in (4-61) could be replaced with $\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ (oriented negatively), and similarly $\mathcal{C}_-^{\Sigma^{(\mathbf{E})}}$ can be replaced by $\mathcal{C}_-^{\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}}$. Furthermore, for $z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have the formula $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)=\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})}(z)^{-1}$. In (4-57) the term $\mathbb{I}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/5})$ is shorthand for the series (4-41) evaluated for $\xi=\epsilon^{-2/5}W(z)$, where W is the conformal map described by Lemma 7. Therefore, a more precise version of (4-57) is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(z)-\mathbb{I}=\frac{\epsilon^{1/5}H(t)}{W(z)}\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\sigma_-\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)^{-1}\\ +\frac{\epsilon^{2/5}(H(t)^2-Y(t))}{2W(z)}\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\sigma_3\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)^{-1}+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}),\quad z\in\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}.\end{aligned} \tag{4-63}$$

Without any change of the order of the error term above, we may consider $\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)$ and $W(z)$ to be evaluated for $x = x_c$ (and hence also $\mathfrak{a} = a_c$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b_c$) as the difference amounts to a contribution of order $x - x_c = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4/5})$. In this degenerate situation, we have

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z) = \mathbf{M} \cdot (b_c - z)^{-\sigma_3/4} \left(\frac{z - a_c}{W_c(z)} \right)^{\sigma_3/4}, \tag{4-64}$$

which implies that

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\sigma_- \mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)^{-1} = k(z)\mathbf{M}\sigma_-\mathbf{M}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)\sigma_3\mathbf{F}^{(\mathfrak{a})}(z)^{-1} = \sigma_1, \tag{4-65}$$

where $k(z)$ is the scalar function analytic at $z = a_c$ given by

$$k(z) := \frac{1}{2}(b_c - z)^{1/2} \left(\frac{W_c(z)}{z - a_c} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{4-66}$$

and where $\mathbf{M}\sigma_-\mathbf{M}^{-1} = i\sigma_2 + \sigma_3$. Clearly, computing the second integral term in (4-61) up to terms of order $\epsilon^{3/5}$ amounts to substituting for $\mathbf{V}^{(\mathbf{E})}(\cdot) - \mathbb{I}$ from the leading term of (4-63); since then the constant matrix $\mathbf{M}\sigma_-\mathbf{M}^{-1}$ will appear squared, the fact that $\sigma_-^2 = \mathbf{0}$ means that only the first integral term in (4-61) is actually needed. Therefore, inserting (4-63) into (4-61) under these considerations leads to the formula

$$\mathbf{E}_p = -\frac{\epsilon^{1/5} H(t)}{2\pi i} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}} \frac{k(z) z^{p-1}\, dz}{W_c(z)} - \frac{\epsilon^{2/5}(H(t)^2 - Y(t))}{4\pi i} \sigma_1 \oint_{\partial \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}} \frac{z^{p-1}\, dz}{W_c(z)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}). \tag{4-67}$$

Taking into account the negative orientation of the circle $\partial\mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and the fact that $W_c(z)$ has a unique simple zero at $z = a_c \in \mathbb{D}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we then obtain

$$\mathbf{E}_p = \epsilon^{1/5} \frac{k(a_c) a_c^{p-1}}{W_c'(a_c)} H(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon^{2/5} \frac{a_c^{p-1}}{2W_c'(a_c)} (H(t)^2 - Y(t))\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}). \tag{4-68}$$

Finally, using $k(a_c) = \frac{1}{2}(b_c - a_c)^{1/2} W_c'(a_c)^{1/2}$ and recalling the value of $W_c'(a_c)$ from Lemma 7 and the values of a_c and b_c from (2-16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_1 &= \epsilon^{1/5} \frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} H(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon^{2/5} \frac{1}{2^{8/15} 3^{1/3}} (H(t)^2 - Y(t))\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}), \\ \mathbf{E}_2 &= -\epsilon^{1/5} \frac{1}{2^{4/15} 3^{2/3}} H(t) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} - \epsilon^{2/5} \frac{1}{2^{13/15} 3^{2/3}} (H(t)^2 - Y(t))\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}). \end{aligned} \tag{4-69}$$

4.7. **Asymptotic formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions.** We begin with the exact formula $\mathbf{O}(z) = \mathbf{E}(z)\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$ that expresses the matrix $\mathbf{O}(z)$, whose moments at $z = \infty$ encode the rational Painlevé-II functions, in terms of the explicit global parametrix $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z)$ and the error matrix $\mathbf{E}(z)$. This implies the following exact formulae for the rational Painlevé-II functions

$$\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\nu/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = \dot{O}_{1,12} + E_{1,12}, \tag{4-70}$$

$$\epsilon^{-(2-\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{\nu/\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_m = \dot{O}_{1,21} + E_{1,21}, \tag{4-71}$$

$$\epsilon^{1/3} \mathcal{P}_m = \dot{O}_{1,22} + E_{1,22} - \frac{\dot{O}_{2,12} + E_{2,12} + E_{1,11}\dot{O}_{1,12} + E_{1,12}\dot{O}_{1,22}}{\dot{O}_{1,12} + E_{1,12}}, \tag{4-72}$$

and

$$\epsilon^{1/3} \mathcal{Q}_m = -\dot{O}_{1,11} - E_{1,11} + \frac{\dot{O}_{2,21} + E_{2,21} + E_{2,12}\dot{O}_{1,11} + E_{1,22}\dot{O}_{1,21}}{\dot{O}_{1,21} + E_{1,21}}. \tag{4-73}$$

The derivation of (4-70)–(4-73) is given in [7, Equations (3-49)–(3-52)] with the substitutions $\lambda \to \nu$, $\dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\text{out})} \to \dot{\mathbf{O}}$, and $g \to \mathfrak{g}$. Here, the matrices $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2$ are the coefficients in the large-z expansion

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) = \mathbb{I} + z^{-1}\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1 + z^{-2}\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2 + \mathcal{O}(z^{-3}), \quad z \to \infty. \tag{4-74}$$

Since $\dot{\mathbf{O}}(z) = \dot{\mathbf{O}}^{(\mathrm{out})}(z)$ for $|z|$ sufficiently large in magnitude, the coefficients $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1$ and $\dot{\mathbf{O}}_2$ have essentially already been computed in (3-94)–(3-95); the only task remaining is to set $K = 0$ in those formulae to obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{O}}_1 = \frac{1}{4}(\mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a})\sigma_1 = 6^{-1/3}\sigma_1 + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4/5}) \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{O}_{2,12} = \dot{O}_{2,21} = \frac{1}{8}(\mathfrak{b}^2 - \mathfrak{a}^2) = 6^{-2/3} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4/5}), \tag{4-75}$$

where the error terms are uniform for $x - x_c = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{4/5})$. Therefore,

$$\epsilon^{(2+\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{-\nu/\epsilon} \mathcal{U}_m = \frac{1}{6^{1/3}} + \epsilon^{1/5} \frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} H\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} \frac{x - x_c}{\epsilon^{4/5}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/5}), \tag{4-76}$$

$$\epsilon^{-(2-\epsilon)/(3\epsilon)} e^{\nu/\epsilon} \mathcal{V}_m = \frac{1}{6^{1/3}} - \epsilon^{1/5} \frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} H\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} \frac{x - x_c}{\epsilon^{4/5}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2/5}), \tag{4-77}$$

$$\epsilon^{1/3} \mathcal{P}_m = -\frac{1}{6^{1/3}} - \epsilon^{2/5} \frac{2^{7/15}}{3^{1/3}} Y\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} \frac{x - x_c}{\epsilon^{4/5}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}), \tag{4-78}$$

and

$$\epsilon^{1/3} \mathcal{Q}_m = \frac{1}{6^{1/3}} + \epsilon^{2/5} \frac{2^{7/15}}{3^{1/3}} Y\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} \frac{x - x_c}{\epsilon^{4/5}} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{3/5}). \tag{4-79}$$

Recalling (1-20) and (4-29)–(4-31), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3 (Asymptotics of $\mathcal{U}_m$, $\mathcal{V}_m$, $\mathcal{P}_m$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m$ near a corner of T)**.** *Let $Y(t)$ be the real tritronquée solution of the Painlevé-I equation* (4-51) *specified by its asymptotic expansion* (4-53)*, and let $H(t)$ be the associated Hamiltonian (see* (4-52)*). Also let $\mathcal{K}$ be a compact set in the t-plane that does not contain any poles of $Y(t)$, and recall $\mathcal{U}_m = \mathcal{U}_m(y)$, $\mathcal{V}_m = \mathcal{V}_m(y)$, $\mathcal{P}_m = \mathcal{P}_m(y)$, and $\mathcal{Q}_m = \mathcal{Q}_m(y)$ are defined for positive integer m in* (1-4) *and* (1-6)*, while $x_c := -(9/2)^{2/3}$. Then the formulae*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{m}{6}\right)^{-2m/3} e^{1/2 - m/3} e^{m(x-x_c)/6^{1/3}} \mathcal{U}_m\left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) \\ &\qquad = 1 + \frac{2^{6/15}}{m^{1/5}} H\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} m^{4/5}(x - x_c) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{2/5}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4-80}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{m}{6}\right)^{2(m-1)/3} e^{m/3 - 1/2} e^{-m(x-x_c)/6^{1/3}} \mathcal{V}_m\left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) \\ &\qquad = 1 - \frac{2^{6/15}}{m^{1/5}} H\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} m^{4/5}(x - x_c) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{2/5}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4-81}$$

$$m^{-1/3} \mathcal{P}_m\left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) = -\frac{1}{6^{1/3}} - \frac{1}{m^{2/5}} \frac{2^{7/15}}{3^{1/3}} Y\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} m^{4/5}(x - x_c) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{3/5}} \right), \tag{4-82}$$

and

$$m^{-1/3} \mathcal{Q}_m\left(\left(m - \tfrac{1}{2}\right)^{2/3} x \right) = \frac{1}{6^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{m^{2/5}} \frac{2^{7/15}}{3^{1/3}} Y\left(\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} m^{4/5}(x - x_c) \right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{m^{3/5}} \right) \tag{4-83}$$

all hold in the limit $m \to +\infty$ uniformly for

$$\frac{2^{1/15}}{3^{1/3}} m^{4/5}(x - x_c) \in \mathcal{K}. \tag{4-84}$$

Note that, since $H'(t) = -Y(t)$, these formulae are formally consistent with the exact identities (1-6) under the rescaling (1-7). The accuracy of these approximations is illustrated in Figures 16 and 17. It is interesting that, according to (4-82)–(4-83), a function with only simple poles like $\mathcal{P}_m$ or $\mathcal{Q}_m$ can be accurately approximated by a function like Y having only double poles. This is possible because the approximation is only valid on sets that avoid the poles, and from Figure 17 one can see that on the scale where t is fixed (i.e., $x - x_0 = \mathcal{O}(m^{-4/5})$) there are pairs of simple poles of $\mathcal{P}_m$ with opposite residues of ± 1 (visible in Figure 17 as nearby pairs of vertical gray lines) that converge in the limit $m \to \infty$ to a common limit, namely a (double)



FIGURE 16. The function $2^{-6/15}m^{1/5}((m/6)^{-2m/3}e^{1/2-m/3}e^{m(x-x_c)/6^{1/3}}\mathcal{U}_m - 1)$ (thick gray curves) and the tritronquée Hamiltonian H (thin black curves) both plotted as functions of $t = 2^{1/15}3^{-1/3}m^{4/5}(x - x_c)$ for $m = 10$ (left) and $m = 40$ (right). The function H was numerically computed using the "pole field solver" code of Fornberg and Weideman [15].



FIGURE 17. The function $-2^{-7/15}3^{1/3}m^{2/5}(m^{-1/3}\mathcal{P}_m + 6^{-1/3})$ (thick gray curves) and the tritronquée solution Y (thin black curves) both plotted as functions of $t = 2^{1/15}3^{-1/3}m^{4/5}(x - x_c)$ for $m = 10$ (left) and $m = 40$ (right). The function Y was numerically computed using the "pole field solver" code of Fornberg and Weideman [15].

pole of Y (see also Figure 2). The approximations guaranteed by Theorem 3 are simply not valid where these coalescence events are occurring.

The same phenomenon occurs at the level of the functions $\mathcal{U}_m$ and $\mathcal{V}_m$, where simple zeros and simple poles converge in pairs to merge and form the simple poles of H (these do not cancel since a zero of $\mathcal{U}_m$ or $\mathcal{V}_m$ corresponds to a non-zero value of H for sufficiently large m, as can be seen from (4-80) and (4-81)). The fact that when x is close to x_c every neighborhood of a pole of $\mathcal{U}_m$ ultimately (as $m \to \infty$) contains a simple zero as well means that the technique described in Remark 3 of exploiting the Bäcklund transformations (1-4)–(1-5) to analyze the reciprocal $1/\mathcal{U}_m$ and hence obtain asymptotics without the need of excluding "holes" near the poles of Y does not apply in the case of the analysis near the corner points of ∂T.

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF KEY LEMMAS

A.1. **Proof of Lemma 1.**

Proof. By the Argument Principle, it suffices to show that S maps the boundary of its domain of analyticity onto a simple closed curve on the Riemann sphere in a 1-to-1 fashion. Consider x traversing the (open)

contour labeled ① in Figure 3. As x is real, writing $S(x) = u + iv$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, (2-2) splits into two real equations:

$$3u^3 - 9uv^2 + 4xu + 8 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 9u^2v - 3v^3 + 4xv = 0. \tag{A-1}$$

Because S has a jump across Σ_S, by Schwarz symmetry $v \neq 0$. Dividing the second equation by v and substituting into the first gives the relation

$$6u^3 + 6uv^2 = 8. \tag{A-2}$$

Introducing polar coordinates by $u = \rho\cos(\vartheta)$ and $v = \rho\sin(\vartheta)$, $\rho \geq 0$, this relation reads

$$6\rho^3\cos(\vartheta) = 8. \tag{A-3}$$

We therefore have $\cos(\vartheta) > 0$, so the image of the arc ① in polar form reads

$$\rho = \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{1/3} (\sec(\vartheta))^{1/3}. \tag{A-4}$$

Since from the second equation in (A-1) we have $4x = 3v^2 - 9u^2$, with the use of (A-4) we get a formula for x as a function of ϑ:

$$x = 3^{1/3}4^{2/3}(\sec(\vartheta))^{2/3}(1 - 4(\cos(\vartheta))^2). \tag{A-5}$$

Note that $\vartheta = 0$ gives $x = x_c$, while $\vartheta = \pi/3$ gives $x = 0$. Moreover, by a direct calculation one sees that

$$\frac{dx}{d\vartheta} = 3^{1/3}4^{2/3}\frac{2}{3}\sin(\vartheta)(\cos(\vartheta))^{1/3}(8 + (\sec(\vartheta))^2) > 0, \quad 0 < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{3}. \tag{A-6}$$

Hence the arc ① is mapped onto its image, given by the polar equation (A-4) with $0 < \vartheta < \pi/3$ (obviously a simple arc), in a 1-to-1 fashion. By Schwarz symmetry of S and the rotational symmetry (2-3), the remaining five arcs illustrated in Figure 3 are also mapped to their images in a 1-to-1 fashion, and their images are all simple arcs subtending the remaining five sectors of the complex S-plane each with opening angle $\pi/3$. Since S is continuous along the curve ① → ② → ③ → ④ → ⑤ → ⑥, its image is therefore a simple closed curve. S maps the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma_S$ to the interior of this latter curve. See Figure 4. □

A.2. **Proof of Lemma 2.**

Proof. The fact that $\mathfrak{d}(x^*) = \mathfrak{d}(x)^*$ holds if $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ follows directly from (2-14) using the definition (2-15). To prove the univalence, we begin with the integral formula (2-12) and we introduce the change of integration variable given by $2\zeta = S(x) + \Delta(x)w$ (recall that $\Delta(x) := -4i/(-3S(x))^{1/2}$ holds as an identity for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$ with the principal branch implied) to yield

$$\mathfrak{d}(x) = \frac{3\Delta(x)^3}{16}\int_{-1}^{-2S(x)/\Delta(x)} \left(w + \frac{2S(x)}{\Delta(x)}\right) r(w; -1, 1)\, dw - \frac{i\pi}{2}. \tag{A-7}$$

Eliminating Δ in favor of S, we obtain $\mathfrak{d}(x) = I(t)$ where $t := i(\frac{3}{4})^{1/2}(-S(x))^{3/2}$ (principal branch) and where

$$I(t) := -\frac{2}{t}\int_{-1}^{t} (w - t)r(w; -1, 1)\, dw - \frac{i\pi}{2}. \tag{A-8}$$

This function has the reflection symmetry $I(-t^*) = I(t)^*$ (Schwarz symmetry through the imaginary axis). Now, t is obviously analytic and univalent on $\mathcal{S}_0$, as can be easily seen with the help of Lemma 1. The corresponding conformal image τ is illustrated in Figure 18. It is now obvious that $\mathfrak{d}$ is analytic on $\mathcal{S}_0$, and by composition it remains to prove that $I : \tau \to \mathbb{C}$ is univalent.

By the Argument Principle, it is sufficient to show that I maps $\partial\tau$ to a simple closed curve on the Riemann sphere in a 1-to-1 fashion. Note that by Leibniz' rule,

$$I'(t) = \frac{2}{t^2}\int_{-1}^{t} (w - t)r(w; -1, 1)\, dw + \frac{2}{t}\int_{-1}^{t} r(w; -1, 1)\, dw = \frac{2}{t^2}\int_{-1}^{t} wr(w; -1, 1)\, dw. \tag{A-9}$$



FIGURE 18. The conformal image τ of the sector $\mathcal{S}_0$ (parametrized by x) in the t-plane, where $t := i(\frac{3}{4})^{1/2}(-S(x))^{3/2}$. The boundary curve labeled (3) (corresponding to Figures 3 and 4) has the parametrization $t = (\sec(\frac{2}{3}\vartheta))^{1/2}e^{i\vartheta}$, $0 < \vartheta < \pi/2$, and the domain τ is symmetric through the imaginary axis.

Moreover, the resulting integrand $wr(w;-1,1)$ has an obvious antiderivative, and therefore

$$I'(t) = \frac{2}{t^2}\int_{-1}^{t} wr(w;-1,1)\,dw = \frac{2}{t^2}\int_{-1}^{t}\frac{d}{dw}\left(\frac{1}{3}r(w;-1,1)^3\right)dw = \frac{2r(t;-1,1)^3}{3t^2}. \tag{A-10}$$

By using the fact that $r(\cdot;-1,1)$ is positive imaginary on the top edge of its branch cut, it is easy to see that the interval $0 < t < 1$ on the boundary of τ, oriented left-to-right, is mapped by I onto the imaginary half-line from $i\pi/2$ to $i\infty$, oriented downward, and since $I'(t) \neq 0$ for $0 < t < 1$ (again evaluated along the top edge of the branch cut for r) this mapping is 1-to-1.

We now claim that if the arc of $\partial\tau$ labeled (3) in Figure 18 is parametrized by $t = t(\vartheta) := (\sec(\frac{2}{3}\vartheta))^{1/2}e^{i\vartheta}$, $0 < \vartheta < \pi/2$, then

$$\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{d}{d\vartheta}I(t(\vartheta))\right) < 0, \quad 0 < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{2}, \tag{A-11}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{d}{d\vartheta}I(t(\vartheta))\right) < 0, \quad 0 < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{A-12}$$

To prove (A-11), we use $r(w;-1,1)^2 = w^2 - 1$ and (A-10) with the chain rule to obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\vartheta}I(t(\vartheta)) = \frac{2}{3}r(t(\vartheta);-1,1)\frac{t(\vartheta)^2-1}{t(\vartheta)^2}t'(\vartheta). \tag{A-13}$$

But $t(\vartheta)$ lies in the first quadrant, and it is easy to check that $r(\cdot;-1,1)$ maps the open first quadrant to itself. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{t(\vartheta)^2-1}{t(\vartheta)^2}t'(\vartheta) = &-\frac{2(\cos(\frac{1}{3}\vartheta))^2\sin(\frac{1}{3}\vartheta)}{3(\cos(\frac{2}{3}\vartheta))^{3/2}}\left(9 - 32(\sin(\tfrac{1}{3}\vartheta))^2 + 32(\sin(\tfrac{1}{3}\vartheta))^4\right)\\ &+ i\frac{2(\sin(\frac{1}{3}\vartheta))^2\cos(\frac{1}{3}\vartheta)}{3(\cos(\frac{2}{3}\vartheta))^{3/2}}\left(9 - 32(\cos(\tfrac{1}{3}\vartheta))^2 + 32(\cos(\tfrac{1}{3}\vartheta))^4\right),\end{aligned} \tag{A-14}$$

and since the quadratic $9 - 32u + 32u^2$ has complex roots, it follows that the above expression lies in the second quadrant of the complex plane. This proves (A-11), since the product of quantities in the first and

second quadrants lies in the left half-plane. From (A-11) it follows that

$$\frac{d}{d\vartheta} I(t(\vartheta)) = -\left(\left[\frac{d}{d\vartheta} I(t(\vartheta)) \right]^2 \right)^{1/2}, \tag{A-15}$$

where the principal branch is meant. But again using $r(w;-1,1)^2 = w^2 - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Im}\left(\left[\frac{d}{d\vartheta} I(t(\vartheta)) \right]^2 \right) &= \mathrm{Im}\left(\frac{4}{9} \frac{(t(\vartheta)^2-1)^3}{t(\vartheta)^4} t'(\vartheta)^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{4}{27} \sin(\tfrac{2}{3}\vartheta)(\sec(\tfrac{2}{3}\vartheta))^3 \left(3\sin(\tfrac{2}{3}\vartheta) + 2\sin(2\vartheta) \right)^2 > 0, \quad 0 < \vartheta < \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{A-16}$$

Since the principal-branch square root of a quantity in the upper half-plane lies in the first quadrant, it follows from (A-15) that $dI(t(\vartheta))/d\vartheta$ lies in the third quadrant, and therefore both (A-11) *and* (A-12) hold true. Now, by the reflection symmetry property $I(-t^*) = I(t)^*$ the image of the terminal point of the arc labeled (3) in Figure 18 (corresponding to $\vartheta = \pi/2$) lies on the real axis in the I-plane. Using this information and the inequalities (A-11)–(A-12) it follows that the arc labeled (3) in Figure 18 is mapped in a 1-to-1 fashion onto a simple arc that begins at $I = i\pi/2$, terminates at a strictly negative real value of I, and otherwise satisfies $\mathrm{Re}(I) < 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}(I) > 0$. Applying again the reflection symmetry of I shows that the whole boundary of τ is mapped onto a simple closed curve on the Riemann sphere in a 1-to-1 fashion. The image of τ is illustrated in Figure 7. This completes the proof of univalence of $I : \tau \to \mathbb{C}$ and hence of $\mathfrak{d} : \mathcal{S}_0 \to \mathbb{C}$. □

A.3. **Proof of Lemma 4.**

Proof. By Schwarz symmetry of ℓ, it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ varies from 0 to $\pi/4$ as x varies along ∂T from x_e (the real midpoint of $\partial T \cap \mathcal{S}_0$) to $x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ (the upper corner). Since $e^{\ell(x)}$ is analytic and nonvanishing for $x \in \mathcal{S}_0$, the increment of the argument of $e^{\ell(x)}$ along any closed curve in $\mathcal{S}_0$ must vanish. We use the closed curve C consisting of the following oriented arcs:

- C_1 being the real interval $[x_e, M]$ for $M \gg 1$, oriented left-to-right,
- C_2 being parametrized by $Me^{i\phi}$ with ϕ increasing from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = \pi/3$,
- C_3 being parametrized by $te^{i\pi/3}$ with t decreasing from $t = M$ to $t = |x_c| + \delta$ for $\delta \ll 1$,
- C_4 being parametrized by $x_c e^{-2\pi i/3} + \delta e^{i\phi}$ with ϕ decreasing from $\pi/3$ to an angle $\phi_c(\delta)$ so that C_4 terminates on ∂T, and,
- C_5 being the arc of ∂T that closes C, oriented toward x_e.

Note that, according to Proposition 1 and the basic reflection symmetry of T through the lines with slopes 0 and $\pm\sqrt{3}$, the angle $\phi_c(\delta)$ tends to $\pi/3 - 4\pi/5 = -7\pi/15$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$. Since the net increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ as x traverses C is zero, the increment as x varies backwards along the edge C_5 is given by the sum of the increments as x varies along $C_1, \ldots, C_4$ in the direction of their given orientation. We calculate the latter in the limit $\delta \downarrow 0$ and $M \uparrow \infty$.

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x)) = 0$ holds identically for all $x \in C_1$ as this contour lies on the positive real axis. Along C_2 we calculate the increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ by considering the limit $M \to \infty$. In this situation, the fact that $S(x) \sim -2/x$ for large $|x|$ shows that $\arg(i\Delta)$ increases by $\pi/6$ along C_2, while (since $r(z_*(x);x)^2 = S(x)^2 - 4/(3S(x))$ holds) $\arg(r(z_*(x);x)^{-5/2})$ increases by $-5\pi/12$ along C_2. Therefore the increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ as x varies along C_2 in the limit $M \to \infty$ is $-\pi/4$. It can be checked that $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ is again constant as x varies along C_3. To calculate the increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ along C_4, we consider the limit $\delta \downarrow 0$ in which $i\Delta(x)$ tends to a fixed nonzero limiting value uniformly for $x \in C_4$; therefore it remains to consider the variation of $r(z_*(x);x)^{-5/2}$ along this arc. It can be shown by analyzing $S(x)$ for x near the corner $x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ that $r(z_*(x);x)^2$ is locally proportional to $(x - x_c e^{-2\pi i/3})^{1/2}$, and hence $\arg(r(z_*(x);x)^{-5/2})$ increases by $\pi/2$ along C_4 in the limit $\delta \downarrow 0$. Therefore the increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ as x varies along C_4 is also $\pi/2$ in this limit. Combining these results shows that the increment of $\mathrm{Im}(\ell(x))$ as x varies along ∂T from $x = x_e > 0$ to $x = x_c e^{-2\pi i/3}$ (backwards along C_5 in the limit $\delta \downarrow 0$) is exactly $\pi/4$. □

A.4. **Proof of Lemma 7.**

Proof. By analogy with the introduction of q as the principal branch square root of $\mathfrak{a} - z$, we denote by Q the principal branch of $(-W)^{1/2}$. Thus $|\arg(-W)| < \pi$ and $|\arg(Q)| < \pi/2$. The relation (4-28) obviously implies the equation

$$f(q; x, \mathfrak{a}) = -\frac{4}{5}Q^5 - sQ. \tag{A-17}$$

We want to determine $\mathfrak{a}$ and s as functions of x near x_c so that this equation has a solution $Q = Q(q; x)$ that is a univalent analytic function of q near $q = 0$. Since both f and the quintic polynomial on the right-hand side of (A-17) are odd, we will impose the condition that Q be an odd function of q. Also, since both q and Q denote principal branches of square roots, it will be necessary that Q map the right half-plane to itself. Given such a Q, we obtain $W = -Q((\mathfrak{a}(x) - z)^{1/2}; x)^2$, which by oddness and univalence of Q will clearly be a univalent function of z taking $z = \mathfrak{a}(x)$ to $W = 0$.

We first note that if $x = x_c$, $\mathfrak{a} = a_c$, and $s = 0$, then it is easy to solve for the function Q, which we denote by Q_c in this degenerate situation. Indeed, according to (4-24), we can write

$$f(q; x_c, a_c) = -\frac{6^{5/6}}{5}q^5 F(q^2), \tag{A-18}$$

where $F(\cdot)$ is an analytic function of its argument satisfying

$$F(q^2) = 1 + \frac{f^{(7)}(0; x_c, a_c)}{42 f^{(5)}(0; x_c, a_c)}q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4) = 1 + \frac{3^{1/3}5}{2^{8/3}7}q^2 + \mathcal{O}(q^4), \quad q \to 0. \tag{A-19}$$

We may therefore solve for $Q_c(q)$ by taking an analytic fifth root:

$$Q_c(q) = \frac{3^{1/6}}{2^{7/30}} q F(q^2)^{1/5}, \tag{A-20}$$

where the last factor is an even analytic function that equals 1 for $q = 0$. Clearly, Q_c is an odd analytic function of q that is univalent for sufficiently small q because

$$Q_c'(0) = \frac{3^{1/6}}{2^{7/30}} > 0. \tag{A-21}$$

It is also a Schwarz-symmetric function, so since $Q_c'(0) > 0$, Q_c locally maps the right half-plane to itself as desired. Since $W_c(z) := -Q_c((a_c - z)^{1/2})^2$, the conformal map W_c satisfies

$$W_c(a_c) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_c'(a_c) = Q_c'(0)^2 = \frac{3^{1/3}}{2^{7/15}} > 0. \tag{A-22}$$

Next, we consider how $(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot))$ should evolve as x moves away from $x = x_c$. Holding q fixed at some small value and assuming that $(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(q))$ are differentiable with respect to x near x_c, we obtain from (A-17) that

$$\dot{Q}(q) = -\frac{f_x(q) + f_{\mathfrak{a}}(q)\dot{\mathfrak{a}} + Q(q)\dot{s}}{4Q(q)^4 + s}, \tag{A-23}$$

where

$$\dot{Q}(q) := \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(q; x), \quad \dot{\mathfrak{a}} := \frac{d\mathfrak{a}}{dx}(x), \quad \dot{s} := \frac{ds}{dx}(x). \tag{A-24}$$

The roots of the denominator of (A-23) are $Q(q) = \Upsilon, i\Upsilon, -\Upsilon, -i\Upsilon$, where $\Upsilon := (-\frac{1}{4}s)^{1/4}$. If the right-hand side of (A-23) is to be an analytic function of small q, we will need to require that the numerator also vanishes at the four corresponding (under the inverse $Q^{-1}(\cdot)$ to the univalent function $Q(\cdot)$) values of q. We therefore insist that

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) + f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))\dot{\mathfrak{a}} + \Upsilon\dot{s} &= 0, \\ f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) + f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon))\dot{\mathfrak{a}} + i\Upsilon\dot{s} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{A-25}$$

(that the numerator of (A-23) also vanishes at the points q corresponding to $-\Upsilon$ and $-i\Upsilon$ follows automatically by the oddness of both $f_x(\cdot)$ and $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot)$ and the presumed oddness of $Q(\cdot)$ and hence of its inverse). Supposing for the moment that $s \neq 0$ (and hence $\Upsilon \neq 0$), solving (A-25) for $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathfrak{a}} &= -\frac{f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))}{f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))}, \\ \dot{s} &= \frac{1}{\Upsilon} \cdot \frac{f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon))f_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))}{f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))}. \end{aligned} \tag{A-26}$$

After using (A-26) to eliminate $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ from the right-hand side of (A-23), we intend to view (A-23) and (A-26) as a first-order differential equation on an appropriate space of triples $(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot))$ for which we have the initial condition $(a_c, 0, Q_c(\cdot))$ when $x = x_c$.

To properly formulate this problem as a dynamical system, pick a radius $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small and consider the Banach space $\mathcal{B}_0$ consisting of functions $Q : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ analytic for $|q| < 2\rho$ and continuous for $|q| \leq 2\rho$ for which

$$Q(-q) = -Q(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \|Q\|_0 := \sup_{|q|=2\rho} |Q(q)| < \infty. \tag{A-27}$$

(That $\|\cdot\|_0$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{B}_0$ follows from the Maximum Modulus Principle.) Clearly $Q_c(\cdot) \in \mathcal{B}_0$ if ρ is sufficiently small. The space we will work in is $(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{B} := \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathcal{B}_0$, which is itself a Banach space with norm $\|(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot)\| := |\mathfrak{a}| + |s| + \|Q\|_0$. Let $D \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a ball of sufficiently small radius $\delta > 0$ in $\mathcal{B}$ centered at $(a_c, 0, Q_c(\cdot))$. We claim that equations (A-23) (with $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ eliminated) and (A-26) define a vector field on D, that is, $(\dot{\mathfrak{a}}, \dot{s}, \dot{Q}(\cdot))$ is continuous in x near x_c and Lipschitz as a function of $(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot)) \in D$.

The key to proving the claim is to rewrite $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ given by (A-26) in a form that makes the rest of the proof straightforward. With the help of the Lagrange-Bürmann inversion formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|q|=\rho} \frac{f_x(q)Q'(q)\,dq}{Q(q) - i\Upsilon} - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{|q|=\rho} \frac{if_x(q)Q'(q)\,dq}{Q(q) - \Upsilon} \\ &= -\frac{4\Upsilon^3}{\pi} X_0(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x), \end{aligned} \tag{A-28}$$

where for any integer p we define

$$X_p(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x) := \oint_{|q|=\rho} \frac{f_x(q; x, \mathfrak{a})Q(q)^p Q'(q)\,dq}{4Q(q)^4 + s}, \tag{A-29}$$

and where the contour of integration is a positively oriented circle of radius ρ that encloses all four roots of $4Q(q)^4 + s$. To obtain the second representation from the first, one makes the substitution $q \mapsto -q$, exploits oddness of Q and f_x, and averages the resulting equivalent formula with the original one, using the identity $4\Upsilon^4 + s = 0$ to simplify the denominator. In exactly the same way,

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) = -\frac{4\Upsilon^3}{\pi} A_0(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x), \tag{A-30}$$

where for any integer p we define

$$A_p(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x) := \oint_{|q|=\rho} \frac{f_{\mathfrak{a}}(q; x, \mathfrak{a})Q(q)^p Q'(q)\,dq}{4Q(q)^4 + s}. \tag{A-31}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) + if_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) &= \frac{4\Upsilon}{\pi} X_2(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x), \\ f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) + if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) &= \frac{4\Upsilon}{\pi} A_2(\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot); x). \end{aligned} \tag{A-32}$$

Using (A-28)–(A-32),

$$\begin{aligned} &f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon))f_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon)) \\ &\qquad = \frac{i}{2}\left[f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) + if_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))\right]\left[f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))\right] \\ &\qquad\quad - \frac{i}{2}\left[f_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) + if_{\mathfrak{a}}(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))\right]\left[f_x(Q^{-1}(i\Upsilon)) - if_x(Q^{-1}(\Upsilon))\right] \\ &\qquad = -\frac{8i\Upsilon^4}{\pi^2}X_2(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)A_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x) + \frac{8i\Upsilon^4}{\pi^2}A_2(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)X_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x). \end{aligned} \tag{A-33}$$

Finally, applying these results to the representations of $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ given in (A-26) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathfrak{a}} &= -\frac{X_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)}{A_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)}, \\ \dot{s} &= \frac{2i}{\pi}X_2(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x) - \frac{2i}{\pi}\cdot\frac{A_2(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)X_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)}{A_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{A-34}$$

Recall that $f_x(q;x,\mathfrak{a})$ and $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(q;x,\mathfrak{a})$ are analytic in all three arguments near $q=0$, $x=x_c$, and $\mathfrak{a}=a_c$. Note also that taking δ sufficiently small bounds $s\in\mathbb{C}$ and $Q-Q_c\in\mathcal{B}_0$ and hence bounds $4Q(q)^4+s$ away from zero for $|q|=\rho$. It therefore follows easily that if $\mathcal{I}=\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)$ represents any of the four integrals X_0, X_2, A_0, or A_2, then $\mathcal{I}$ is continuous in x near x_c and for each such x satisfies an estimate of the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot);x) - \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot);x)| \le c\Bigg(&|\mathfrak{a}_2-\mathfrak{a}_1| + |s_2-s_1| \\ &+ \sup_{|q|=\rho}|Q_2(q)-Q_1(q)| + \sup_{|q|=\rho}|Q_2'(q)-Q_1'(q)|\Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{A-35}$$

for some $c>0$ depending only on δ. Using the Cauchy Integral Formula to express $Q_j'(q)$ with $|q|=\rho$ in terms of values of $Q_j(q)$ with $|q|=2\rho$ and applying the Maximum Modulus Principle shows that, for some different constant c,

$$|\mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot);x) - \mathcal{I}(\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot);x)| \le c\|(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot)) - (\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_2(\cdot))\|. \tag{A-36}$$

It follows from (A-34) that if the denominator $A_0(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot);x)$ is bounded away from zero on D for all x near x_c, then $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ will be continuous in x and will satisfy estimates of the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\dot{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot);x) - \dot{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot);x)| &\le c\|(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot)) - (\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot))\|, \\ |\dot{s}(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot);x) - \dot{s}(\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot);x)| &\le c\|(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot)) - (\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot))\| \end{aligned} \tag{A-37}$$

for all $(\mathfrak{a}_j,s_j,Q_j(\cdot))\in D$ for $j=1,2$. But by a residue calculation at $q=0$ using (4-26),

$$A_0(a_c,0,Q_c(\cdot);x_c) = \frac{i\pi}{2Q_c'(0)^3}\left(\frac{243}{2}\right)^{1/6} = i\pi 3^{1/3}2^{-7/15} \neq 0, \tag{A-38}$$

which therefore implies (A-37) as long as δ is sufficiently small.

Now consider (A-23) with $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and s eliminated in terms of the integrals X_0, X_2, A_0, and A_2 by means of (A-34). The denominator is an analytic function of q having either four simple roots or one four-fold root at $q=0$ (for $s=0$ only). The numerator is an analytic function of q that by construction vanishes at the four simple roots of the denominator when $s\neq 0$, and therefore the fraction $\dot{Q}$ is analytic in an s-independent neighborhood of the origin $q=0$ as long as $s\neq 0$. But by the Argument Principle and continuity of $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dot{s}$ with respect to s, the numerator of $\dot{Q}$ vanishes at the origin to fourth order when $s=0$, and therefore $\dot{Q}$ is analytic in q even if $s=0$. Moreover, $\dot{Q}$ is an odd function of q because $Q\in\mathcal{B}_0$ is odd. Therefore, $(\mathfrak{a},s,Q(\cdot))\in D$ implies that $\dot{Q}\in\mathcal{B}_0$ as a function of q. Using the continuity of $\dot{\mathfrak{a}}$ and s in x near x_c and the Lipschitz estimates (A-37) then shows that $\dot{Q}$ is continuous from x near x_c in $\mathbb{C}$ to $\mathcal{B}_0$, and that, for some c,

$$\|\dot{Q}(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot);x) - \dot{Q}(\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot);x)\|_0 \le c\|(\mathfrak{a}_2,s_2,Q_2(\cdot)) - (\mathfrak{a}_1,s_1,Q_1(\cdot))\| \tag{A-39}$$

holds for all $(\mathfrak{a}_j,s_j,Q_j(\cdot))\in D$ for $j=1,2$.

Therefore, the triple $(\dot{\mathfrak{a}}, \dot{s}, \dot{Q}(\cdot))$ constitutes a map from $((\mathfrak{a}, s, Q(\cdot)), x) \in D \times (x_c - \delta, x_c + \delta)$ to $\mathcal{B}$ that is continuous in the second argument and Lipschitz in the first. It follows from local existence and uniqueness theory for differential equations in Banach spaces that for $x - x_c$ sufficiently small there exists a unique solution $(\mathfrak{a}(x), s(x), Q(\cdot; x)) \in D$ of the first-order differential equations (A-23) and (A-26) with initial condition $(\mathfrak{a}(x_c), s(x_c), Q(\cdot; x_c)) = (a_c, 0, Q_c(\cdot))$. It follows further that, if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(x)$ and $s = s(x)$, then, for all x near x_c, $W(z; x) := -Q((\mathfrak{a}(x) - z)^{1/2}; x)^2$ is a univalent map satisfying (4-28) on the three contours near $z = \mathfrak{a}$ for which the jump matrix of $\mathbf{O}$ depends on z. Indeed, oddness of Q means that the right-half q-plane will be mapped under Q to a curve through the origin symmetric with respect to reflection through the origin. Since $Q'(q)$ is controlled by the Cauchy Integral Formula, the slope of this curve will be nearly vertical for x close enough to x_c. Upon going back to W by $W = -Q^2$, the region to the right of the boundary curve will be mapped to a single branch cut (both halves agree because the curve is symmetric) emanating from $W = 0$ to the right, and its slope will be small near the origin if x is close to x_c. Therefore the mapping $z \mapsto W$ will be well-defined and invertible on the three rays of the jump contour for which the jump matrix depends on z (we only have to exclude the contour along which $\mathbf{O}_+(z) = \mathbf{O}_-(z)(-i\sigma_1)$ which may indeed interfere with the branch cut, but which does not matter because the jump is independent of z).

By residue calculations at $q = 0$, we have $A_2(a_c, 0, Q_c(\cdot); x_c) = 0$ and (using (4-27) and (A-21)) we calculate $X_0(a_c, 0, Q_c(\cdot); x_c) = -i\pi 2^{-14/15} 3^{-1/3}$. This gives the claimed value of $s'(x_c)$ and hence completes the proof of the lemma. □

References

[1] H. Airault, "Rational solutions of Painlevé equations," *Stud. Appl. Math.* **61**, 31–53, 1979.

[2] M. Bertola and T. Bothner, "Zeros of large degree Vorob'ev-Yablonski polynomials via a Hankel determinant identity," `arXiv:1401.1408`, 2014.

[3] M. Bertola and S. Lee, "First colonization of a spectral outpost in random matrix theory," *Constr. Approx.* **30**, 225–263, 2009.

[4] M. Bertola and A. Tovbis, "Universality in the profile of the semiclassical limit solutions to the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the first breaking curve," *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2010**, 2119–2167, 2010.

[5] M. Bertola and A. Tovbis, "Universality for the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation at the gradient catastrophe point: Rational breathers and poles of the tritronquée solution to Painlevé I," *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **66**, 678–752, 2013.

[6] R. J. Buckingham and P. D. Miller, "The sine-Gordon equation in the semiclassical limit: critical behavior near a separatrix," *J. Anal. Math.* **118**, 397–492, 2012.

[7] R. J. Buckingham and P. D. Miller, "Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-II functions. I.," `arXiv:1310.2276`, 2013.

[8] T. Claeys and T. Grava, "Solitonic asymptotics for the Korteweg-de Vries equation in the small dispersion limit," *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **42**, 2132–2154, 2010.

[9] P. Clarkson, "Vortices and polynomials," *Stud. Appl. Math.* **123**, 37–62, 2009.

[10] P. Clarkson and E. Mansfield, "The second Painlevé equation, its hierarchy, and associated special polynomials," *Nonlinearity* **16**, R1–R26, 2003.

[11] O. Costin, M. Huang, and S. Tanveer, "Proof of the Dubrovin conjecture and analysis of the tritronquée solutions of P_I," *Duke Math. J.* **163**, 665–704, 2014.

[12] P. A. Deift, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Random Matrices: A Riemann-Hilbert Approach*, Courant Lecture Notes **3**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.

[13] B. Dubrovin, T. Grava, and C. Klein, "On universality of critical behavior in the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation, elliptic umbilic catastrophe and the tritronquée solution to the Painlevé I equation," *J. Nonlinear Sci.* **19**, 57–94, 2009.

[14] A. S. Fokas, A. R. Its, and A. V. Kitaev, "Discrete Painlevé equations and their appearance in quantum gravity," *Comm. Math. Phys.* **142**, 313–344, 1991.

[15] B. Fornberg and J. A. C. Weideman, "A numerical methodology for the Painlevé equations," *J. Comput. Phys.* **230**, 5957–5973, 2011.

[16] C. Johnson, "String theory without branes," `arXiv:hep-th/0610223`, 2006.

[17] S. Kamvissis, K. T.-R. McLaughlin, and P. D. Miller, *Semiclassical Soliton Ensembles for the Focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **154**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2003.

[18] A. Kapaev, "Scaling limits in the second Painlevé transcendent," *J. Math. Sci.* **83**, 38–61, 1997. Translated from *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **209**, 60–101, 1994.

[19] A. Kapaev, "Quasi-linear Stokes phenomenon for the Painlevé first equation," *J. Phys. A* **37**, 11149–11167, 2004.

[20] Y. Murata, "Rational solutions of the second and fourth Painlevé equations," *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **28**, 1–32, 1985.

[21] N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Singular Integral Equations: Boundary Problems of Function Theory and Their Application to Mathematical Physics*, Courier Dover Publications, Mineola NY, 2013.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, PO Box 210025, Cincinnati, OH 45221

E-mail address: buckinrt@uc.edu

URL: http://homepages.uc.edu/~buckinrt

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, East Hall, 530 Church St., Ann Arbor, MI 48109

E-mail address: millerpd@umich.edu

URL: http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~millerpd